## MEETING

## PERFORMANCE AND CONTRACT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

DATE AND TIME
WEDNESDAY $11^{\text {TH }}$ JUNE 2014
AT 7.00 PM

## VENUE

HENDON TOWN HALL, THE BURROUGHS, NW4 4BG

## TO: MEMBERS OF PERFORMANCE AND CONTRACT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (Quorum 3)

Chairman:
Vice Chairman:

## Councillors

John Marshall
Shimon Ryde
Daniel Seal

## Substitute Members

Caroline Stock
Alison Moore

Councillor Anthony Finn
Councillor Sury Khatri

You are requested to attend the above meeting for which an agenda is attached.

## Andrew Nathan - Head of Governance

Governance Services contact: Ash Tadjrishi 02083592368 ash.tadjrishi@barnet.gov.uk
Media Relations contact: Sue Cocker 02083597039

## ASSURANCE GROUP

ORDER OF BUSINESS

| Item No | Title of Report | Pages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Absence of Members |  |
| 2. | Declarations of Members Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and <br> Non-Pecuniary Interests |  |
| 3. | Public Questions and Comments (if any) |  |
| 4. | Nembers' Items (if any) | $1-72$ |
| 5. | Committee Forward Work Programme | $101-100$ |
| 6. | Any Other Items that the Chairman Decides are Urgent |  |
| 7. | Motion to Exclude the Press and Public |  |
| 8. | Any Other Exempt Items that the Chairman Decides are Urgent |  |
| 9. |  |  |
| 10. |  |  |

## FACILITIES FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

Hendon Town Hall has access for wheelchair users including lifts and toilets. If you wish to let us know in advance that you will be attending the meeting, please telephone Ash Tadjrishi 02083592368 ash.tadjrishi@barnet.gov.uk. People with hearing difficulties who have a text phone, may telephone our minicom number on 0208203 8942. All of our Committee Rooms also have induction loops.

## FIRE/EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

If the fire alarm sounds continuously, or if you are instructed to do so, you must leave the building by the nearest available exit. You will be directed to the nearest exit by uniformed custodians. It is vital you follow their instructions.

You should proceed calmly; do not run and do not use the lifts.
Do not stop to collect personal belongings

Once you are outside, please do not wait immediately next to the building, but move some distance away and await further instructions.

Do not re-enter the building until told to do so.
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| Wards | All |
| Status | Public |
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| Officer Contact Details | Paul Thorogood - Head of Finance, CSG Finance Service Paul.Thorogood@capita.co.uk <br> Tom Pike - Head of Programmes and Resources, LBB Tom.Pike@barnet.gov.uk <br> Claire Symonds - Commercial Director, LBB <br> Claire.Symonds@barnet.gov.uk |

## Management Committee

 11 June 2014
## Quarter 4 Budget and Performance Monitoring 2013/14

## Officer Contact Details

Tom.Pike@barnet.gov.uk
Claire Symonds - Commercial Director, LBB
Claire.Symonds@barnet.gov.uk

## Summary

This report contains the budget and performance information which previously was reported to Cabinet Resources Committee, Budget and Performance Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Contract Monitoring Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

The majority of this report contains the contents previous reported to Cabinet Resources Committee and the Budget and Performance Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Additional information provides the delivery performance of both contracts and non-contracted services under paragraph 1.6. Additional overview on the contract performance, as
previously provided to Contract Monitoring Overview and Scrutiny Committee, can be located in Appendix A at section 2.6.

The Council's historic performance can be accessed from www.barnet.gov.uk/performance, within this page there is also a link to the quarterly reporting explanatory note.

## Corporate Plan

Barnet Corporate Plan sets the strategic objectives for 2013-2016 focusing on three main priority areas:

- Promote responsible growth, development and success across the borough
- support families and individuals that need it- promoting independence, learning and well-being
- improve the satisfaction of residents and businesses with the London Borough of Barnet as a place to live, work and study.
The corporate plan will be delivered with a focus on outcomes with the measures of success reported on quarterly to Performance and Contract Monitoring Committee.


## Successes and challenges

Of the Corporate Plan Indicators (CPIs) that reported, the balance of met and missed targets was $57 \%$ met ( 15 targets rated as green) and $43 \%$ missed ( 6 red, 2 red-amber, and 3 green-amber rating). 15 CPIs show a positive or neutral direction of travel, 9 CPIs demonstrate a negative direction of travel.

There are a number of successes across Barnet's Corporate Plan for quarter 4 2013/14 including a reduction in the number of households in emergency accommodation; decreased levels of domestic burglary; target achievement reached for eligible adult social care customers receiving direct payments; reduction in first time entrant to the Youth Justice System; a large number of empty homes being brought back to use; and a 12\% reduction in the spend on agency workers.

Quarter 4 presented various emerging and continued challenges including an increase in the average length of time spent by households in short-term nightly purchased accommodation to 43.8 days; the percentage of waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting was $38.4 \%$ between October 2013 and December 2013, slightly below the stretch target; and a lower number of eligible people receiving NHS health checks than targeted. In addition, other performance challenges include being below target for the percentage of eligible adult social care customers receiving self-directed support; an increase in young adults in residential and nursing care; a decrease in the percentage of people who feel they have confidence in the police and the local authority to deal with crime and anti-social behaviour.

## Customer experience

Improving residents' satisfaction is one of the Council's three strategic objectives. To achieve this we monitor resident satisfaction through the biennial Resident Perception Survey and through making the experience of contacting the council as positive as it can be, at the same time as driving down cost and making it more efficient.
The spring 2014 Residents Perception Survey (telephone survey of 2,000 residents) results show that overall satisfaction with the local area remains high (at $87 \%$ and above the national average) the increase in overall satisfaction with the council experienced in autumn 2013 has been sustained and remains significantly above the London average (at
$72 \%$ ); and the increase in residents indicating that the council provides value for money has also continued (51\%).

Residents' top three personal concerns are similar to autumn 2013, although there has been a slight shift in the order of their concerns:

- Conditions of roads and pavements, remains residents' top concern, but it has experienced a significant increase in residents indicating this as one of their top three personal concerns;
- Lack of affordable housing has moved up from third to second concern;
- Crime has shifted down to third concern.

Residents' satisfactions with the majority of local services continues to improve.

Customer satisfaction has improved steadily throughout 2013/14, having been made a Key Performance Indicator in the CSG contract with our partner Capita, with performance in the final quarter standing at $74 \%$ (against a target of $65 \%$ ). Similarly, wait times on the telephone have continued above target and at face to face centres wait times have decreased by $13.5 \%$.

Despite these improvements, the number of customer complaints rose throughout the year, dipping only slightly in quarter 4. This was driven in large part by the changes arising from the launch of the new waste and recycling scheme in October 2013, although these complaints did reduce in quarter 4 compared with quarter 3. A complaints improvement plan is being implemented to address the causes of complaints across the council and improve the way the council and its partners manage and respond. The council is improving the proportion of complaints responded to within target timescales, up to $74 \%$ in quarter 4 from $68 \%$ in quarter 3.

Meanwhile customers are increasingly taking to social media to engage with the council, and the council therefore needs to establish a policy for managing this new channel effectively alongside the more traditional channels of telephone and email.

## Delivery Performance

In addition to the Corporate Plan Indicators, Delivery Units each have a set of Key Performance Indicators (KPI) to measure the success of the delivery of key services and Commissioning Priorities. KPI performance has outlined a number of successes and some challenges including:

## Successes:

- decreasing numbers of older people placed into residential care
- increased access to peer-led advice information and support
- a high volume of children adopted or placed permanently through another route in 2013/14
- reduction in rent arrears in temporary accommodation
- high number of 17 year olds recorded in education and training
- compliance with major, minor and other planning application timescales.

Challenges

- Iow proportion of children in Barnet Foster Care out of the total children in care
- a decline in the number of secondary schools receiving a good or better Ofsted rating
- $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{e}}$ faced issues with responding to complaints relating to a drainage malfunction and/or flooding event.


## Contract monitoring

Detailed assessment of the contracts monitored through the quarterly monitoring report (Re, CSG, Barnet Homes and HB Public Law) are outlined in in paragraph 1.6 (Delivery Performance) with an overview for each contract (including Your Choice Barnet) at Appendix A section 2.6. The full individual performance reports can be accessed from www.barnet.gov.uk/performance with the most recent quarter at www.barnet.gov.uk/currentperformance.

## Benchmarking

Based on the Local Government Association benchmarking tool - LG Inform - Barnet is above benchmark across $94 \%$ of the service areas highlighted in the standard headline report.

## Programmes

The Council has four programmes. The Transformation programme is set up to deliver a number of projects to improve services or provide efficiency savings. The Capital programme will deliver new school places, changes to assets and technology. Progress is positive, with exception of the Depot Relocation project owing to challenges in identifying, securing and operating from a new site before the current site in Mill Hill East needs to be vacated. The Regeneration programme is also reporting a positive direction of travel. Lastly there are a set of programmes in place to support Delivery Unit to deliver their Medium Term Financial Strategy commitments, to meet statutory needs and to improve service provision.

## Budget Outturn

The Council has now concluded the financial year 2013/14 and the draft statement of accounts are currently being audited by the external auditors. They will be presented to the Audit Committee in July 2014.

The revenue outturn has resulted in a favourable variance of $£ 0.120 \mathrm{~m}$ which has been transferred to general fund balances. This has increased the general fund balance to $£ 15.950 \mathrm{~m}$ which is above the Council's minimum level of $£ 15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ by $£ 0.950 \mathrm{~m}$.

The capital outturn during the last quarter has seen further slippage of $£ 33.179 \mathrm{~m}$ of which $£ 21.377 \mathrm{~m}$ has been requested to be carried forward into $2014 / 15$ for expenditure on the approved schemes. Over the full financial year there has been slippage of $£ 98.626 \mathrm{~m}$, representing $48.86 \%$ of the original approved programme, in 2012/13 the slippage was 65.12\%.

## Treasury Outturn

The Council has been compliant throughout 2013/14 with the set Prudential Indicators and has not breached its Affordable Borrowing Limit.

## Recommendations

1. The Committee is asked to note the $2013 / 14$ revenue budget and capital outturn position contained within the body of the report.
2. The Committee is asked to note the Agency Costs for the financial year as detailed in Paragraph 1.8.11.
3. The Committee is asked to note the Transformation Programme position as at the 31 March 2014 as detailed in paragraph 1.8.12.
4. The Committee Committee is asked to note the Treasury position outlined in paragraph 1.10.
5. The Committee is asked to approve that $£ 21.377 \mathrm{~m}$ of the outturn slippage of the 2013/14 capital programme, as outlined in Appendix C, is carried forward to be spent in the 2014/15 financial year.
6. The Committee is asked to approve the additions to the capital programme set out in paragraph 1.9.
7. To note the final balances on the provisions and earmarked reserves contained in table 6 and 7 respectively'

## WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

1.1 This report outlines the quarterly position of the Council's performance against the priorities outlined in the Corporate Plan, the delivery performance of major contracts, performance of internal Delivery Units and the budget position.
1.2 The past three years of performance information is available at: www.barnet.gov.uk/performance with the most up-to-date version is available from www.barnet.gov.uk/currentperformance
1.3 Successes and challenges
1.3.1 Successes

There are a number of successes across Barnet for quarter 4 2013/14, these have been highlighted as:

- The recently available results from the Residents Perception Survey show continued high satisfaction with the area and Barnet Council.
- The number of households placed in emergency accommodation reduced from 549 to 471, meeting the target for the first time this year.
- The level of domestic burglary in Barnet was $18 \%$ above target at 20.27 per 1,000 households during March 2013 to February 2014.
- Over $30 \%$ of eligible adults social care customers received direct payments in 2013/14.
- There was a reduction in the number of first time entrants to Youth Justice System aged 10 to 17 from 357 to 337.
- 624 empty homes were brought back into residential use by the end of March 2014.
- A $12 \%$ reduction in the agency staffing expenditure. Agency staff costs incurred during the 2013/14 was $£ 3.276$ m lower 2012/13.


### 1.3.2 Challenges

There are a number of new performance issues in quarter 4 that have recently been highlighted as a challenge:

- There was an increase in the average length of time spent by households in short-term nightly purchased accommodation to 43.8 days.
- The percentage of waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting was $38.4 \%$ between October 2013 and December 2013, slightly below the stretch target. Although notably, 1,465 additional tonnes of recycling was collected compared to the same period last year and represents a $6.7 \%$ points increase on the same period last year.
- In Public Health, poor performance has continued on the number of eligible people receiving NHS health checks. From October 2013 to December 2013, just 918 people received a health check, below the target for the quarter of 2000.

The following challenges are those that have continued to decline in quarter 4 or that present a new challenge:

- The proportion of residents highlighting the conditions of roads and pavements as one of their top three personal concerns has further increased - up to $38 \%$, up $8 \%$ points since autumn 2013.
- The percentage of eligible adult social care customers who received self-directed support in April 2013 to March 2014 was 63.7\% against the target of $75 \%$.
- In quarter 4, the number of young adults in residential and nursing care increased from 307 to 315 .
- The percentage of people who feel they have confidence in the police and the local authority to deal with crime and anti-social behaviour was $70 \%$, below the corporate target of $78 \%$.
- Additionally, there was an increase in the number of adults reoffending under probation supervision from previous outturn of 7 to 7.7 per 1,000 caseload for October 2012 to September 2013.


### 1.4 Customer experience

Resident Perception Survey
The recent spring Residents Perception Survey results show the following headlines:

- Overall satisfaction with the local area remains high at $87 \%$ and is now significantly above the national average (4\% points).
- The increase in overall satisfaction with the council experienced in autumn 2013 has been sustained (72\%) and remains significantly above the London average (3\% points).
- The increase in residents indicating that the council provides value for money experienced in autumn 2013 has also been continued at $51 \%$.
- Residents' top three personal concerns are similar to autumn 2013, although there has been a slight shift in their placement:
- Conditions of roads and pavements, remains residents' top concern (38\%), but it has experienced a significant increase in residents indicating this as one of their top three personal concerns (increase of $8 \%$ points);
- Lack of affordable housing has moved up from third to second concern (with $29 \%$ reporting it in their top 3 personal concerns, up from 27\% in the autumn 2013 survey);
- Crime has shifted down to third concern (with $28 \%$ reporting it in their top 3 personal concerns, down from 30\% in the autumn 2013 survey).
- Residents' satisfaction with the majority of local services continue to improve, including:
- Social services for adults, up 11 \% points to $32 \%$ (14\% points above London benchmark);
- Secondary Education, up 10\% points to $53 \%$ (15\% points above London benchmark);
- Social services for children and families, up 10\% points to 34\% (13\% points above London benchmark);
- Council housing, up $10 \%$ to $27 \%$ ( $5 \%$ points above London benchmark).

The full survey results are available from http://engage.barnet.gov.uk/consultation-team/residents-perception-survey2014/consult view. During quarter 1 2014/15, Delivery Units will be analysing the specific results for their services and will report any required actions as part of their quarter $12014 / 15$ performance reports.

## Customer contact

## Volume

There was a 7\% drop in customer contact received by the corporate contact centre in quarter 4 , compared to quarter 3 , as the spike in demand from the implementation of the new waste and recycling collection scheme subsided.
The level of customer complaints and service requests being received through social media (Twitter and Facebook) has been rising and therefore there is a need to establish a policy for managing them effectively.

## Contact centre performance

Following on from the improved levels of customer service achieved in quarter 3, there have been further improvements. Satisfaction has increased across all access channels, with the largest improvement seen with emails. Overall satisfaction has increased from 64\% in quarter 3 to $73 \%$ in quarter 4, against a target of $65 \%$. Telephone answering is above the $70 \%$ target with $72 \%$ of calls answered in time, and wait times at access centres has reduced so the average wait times across Barnet House and Burnt Oak are now within targets. First Contact Resolution (FCR) has also been improving - reaching $49 \%$ at the end of quarter 4 (March 2014) - a $5 \%$ points improvement from the start of quarter 3 (October 2013).

Complaints, members' enquiries, and Freedom of Information
There has also been continued good performance in responding to Freedom of Information requests ( $98 \%$ on time) and Members Enquiries ( $99 \%$ on time). The proportion of customer complaints responded to on time has risen from $68 \%$ in quarter 3 to $74 \%$ in quarter 4, but performance needs to continue to improve to meet the corporate target of $80 \%$.

There do remain a number of challenges, including the high number of stage 1 corporate complaints, which rose significantly in the second half of 2013/14 (quarter 1: 593, quarter 2: 517, quarter 3: 927 and quarter 4: 908), and a high proportion being upheld ( $41 \%$ in quarter 4). The implementation of the Complaints Improvement Plan - agreed by officers in March 2014 - will seek to address these issues. Complaints performance within $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{e}}$ in quarter 4 was particularly poor, with only $58 \%$ responded to on time, but the backlog has since been resolved so performance is expected to be much better in the next quarter.

### 1.5 Performance against the Corporate Plan

A total of 26 indicators out of 38 indicators were required to report in quarter 4. Of the indicators that reported, the balance of met and missed targets was $58 \%$ met (green) and $42 \%$ missed (red, red-amber and green-amber rating).

| Delivery Unit | Total no. of Corp Plan indicators | RAG ratings |  |  |  | Positive/ neutral Direction of Travel | Negative Direction of Travel | No. of indicators expected to report data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Green | Green amber | Red amber | Red |  |  |  |
| Adults and Communities | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Children's Education and Skills | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Family Services | 5 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Commissioning Group | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Street Scene | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Public Health | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Barnet Homes | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Re | 5 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| Total | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (57.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (7.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (23.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 9 | 26 |

The focus of Barnet for 2013-2016 is to remain an attractive and successful London borough where people want to live, become an enterprising place and support people that need it. Against the Corporate Plan strategic objectives performances on Barnet's measures of success are:

- Promoting responsible growth, development and success across the borough at $71 \%$ success rate
- Support families and individuals that need it- promoting independence, learning and well-being has met $50 \%$ success targets
- Improve satisfaction of residents and businesses with the London Borough of Barnet as a place to live, work and study is currently at $43 \%$ of success measures being met.


### 1.6 Delivery Performance

The quarterly budget and performance monitoring report has previously reported only against Corporate Plan Indicators - the measures of success against indicators outlined in the Corporate Plan.

In addition to the Corporate Plan Indicators, Delivery Units each have a set of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to measure the success of the delivery of key services and Commissioning Priorities. The overall performance for quarter 4 is outlined below:

| Directorate | RAG ratings |  |  |  | Direction of Travel* |  | No. of indicators reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Green | Green amber | Red amber | Red | Positivel neutral DoT | Negative DoT |  |
| Adults and Communities | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 10** |
| Children's Education and Skills | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Children's Family Services | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Street Scene | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Public Health | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Barnet Homes | 16 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 21 |
| Re | 54 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 32 | 11 | 58 |
| CSG | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 3 | $24^{* * *}$ |
| HB Public Law | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (85.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (4.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (7.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 91 | 35 | 146 |

*Various KPIs did not report a direction of travel due to reporting for the first time.
${ }^{* *}$ One indicator in Adults and Communities does not have a target therefore cannot be RAG rated.
${ }^{* * *}$ One HR indicator was expected to report but is awaiting an agreed set of projects.
The Council uses an escalation approach which highlights where there are performance challenges within Delivery Units, whether an internal or external Delivery Unit. This approach ensures that the areas subject to challenge and action planning. This approach is also used to highlight the successes across the Council.

There are a number of successes across Barnet Delivery Unit KPIs for quarter 4 2013/14, these have been highlighted as:

- Decreasing numbers of older people placed into residential care from 788 in 2011/12 and 771 in 2012/13 to 719 older people in residential care in 2013/14.
- Barnet's enablement service has shown success with 65.6 \% (581 people) receiving short term support and when this ends no longer need any homecare services.
- Barnet Centre for Independent Living has dealt with 5805 contacts from people reflecting increased access to peer-led advice information and support.
- There were 38 children adopted or placed permanently through another route in 2013/14.
- The amount of temporary accommodation arrears out of the amount due reduced this quarter and met the target of less than $4 \%$ with an arrears total of $£ 585,538$ out of $£ 14,905,382$ total rent due.
- The percentage of 17 year olds recorded in education and training from April 2013 to March 2014 was over 92\%.
- The percentage of telephone call queries or requests resolved without transfer to another team or officer increased from 44\% to 49\% in quarter 4.
- In Revenues and Benefits, the average speed of processing for changes reduced from 6 days to 4 days.
- Compliance with major, minor and other planning application timescales is $94.4 \%$. Barnet has improved its performance ranking from $5^{\text {th }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ best performing borough in London in December 2013.

Some KPIs have reflected challenges within Delivery Units:

- The proportion of children in Barnet Foster Care out of the total children in care declined from $40.2 \%$ to $37.8 \%$. The most recent Department for Education (DfE) figure reported the England rate as 74\% (May 2012).
- The number of secondary schools receiving a good or better Ofsted rating has declined over the course of the year with the final outturn of less than $91 \%$ against a target of $100 \%$.
- Re faced issues with responding to complaints relating to a drainage malfunction and/or flooding event where $93.1 \%$ were responded to on time (against a 100\% target). A new contract has been put in place with a new provider.


### 1.7 Benchmarking

Across the 18 service indicators within the Headline Report of the LGA's public benchmarking tool - LG Inform - Barnet was above benchmark in all but one indicator (17 out of 18 indicators - $94 \%$ ).

The single area where performance was illustrated as below the Unitary/ County Council benchmark was Social care-related quality of life (2013). When compared to only the London local authorities, Barnet's performance is above benchmark.

### 1.8 Programmes

The Council has four programme portfolios: the Transformation programme (formally known as One Barnet); the Capital programme, including school builds and refurbishment, the Regeneration programme and a set of Delivery Unit Transformation programmes.

The Transformation Programme delivers in-flight transformation projects, including the development of a business case for the future of Sports and Physical Activity, the creation of a Registrars shared service and the transformation of CCTV through a new service model and refreshed technology. In Q4 there were nine open projects which none are red-rated. CCTV project had been amber rated due to the go-live date being delayed to ensure the final contract is ready for final sign off.

The overall Capital programme is RAG rated green with good progress being made. Over half of the 23 open projects are green rated. However there are two red-rated projects. The Depot Relocation project is now amber rated as progress has now been made on submission of a planning application for Pinkham Way. In the last quarter the most critical red rated project was Copthall Secondary School. This has moved to green as a revised programme has been agreed with works scheduled to complete in March 2015 with the school expanding capacity and using the permanent facilities from September 2015.

The Regeneration programme overall is RAG rated as green, an improvement from last quarter (an amber RAG rating) as substantial progress is being made across all schemes. There are 12 open projects of which none are redrated. The Grahame Park project has improved from amber to green rating as significant progress is being made on the scheme. In particular, contracts have been signed between LBB, Genesis and Barnet College and the Phase 0 extension has been completed. Considerable progress is being made with the Brent Cross project, in particular the Chancellor of the Exchequer has given support to the scheme and rail station via the budget announcement in early April and conducted a site visit. One project, Colindale, has moved from green to amber primarily as the council has no control of the pace of development on third party sites which could potentially lead to deviation from its aspirational milestones. Dollis Valley has improved from amber to green; the Phase 1 demolitions are now complete and the project is on track. The size and complexity of individual projects, and dependencies with external development partners has resulted in amber ratings for some of the projects

LBB have been developing programmes of work to support the Delivery Units to support a number of changes including improvement services, adapt where statutory duties are changing and the achievement of MTFS savings. The Delivery Unit programmes consist of Children's Transformation, Adults Transformation and Street Scene Transformation. Progress has been made in setting up these programmes although it is still at an early stage.

### 1.9 Revenue and Capital Outturn

### 1.9.1 2013/14 Revenue Monitoring

Table 1 below provides a summary of the 2013/14 outturn analysis compared to the revised budget position. The final outturn general fund expenditure (after reserve movements) was $£ 292.852 \mathrm{~m}$ which is a favourable variance of $£ 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ against the final budget of $£ 292.972 \mathrm{~m}$. The revenue budget underspend represents a decrease in the forecast expenditure reported for quarter three of $£ 5.374 \mathrm{~m}$.

A breakdown of revenue monitoring by each delivery unit is set out in Appendix B.

Table 1: 2013/14 Revenue Quarter 3 Analysis - Summary

| Description | Original <br> Budget <br> £000 | Final Budget 2013/14 <br> £000 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Final } \\ \text { Outturn } \\ 2013 / 14 \\ \\ £ 000 \end{array}$ | Variance <br> £000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults and Communities | 96,025 | 97,080 | 97,519 | 439 |
| Assurance | 3,611 | 4,088 | 4,047 | (41) |
| Children's Education \& Skills | 9,444 | 9,567 | 9,377 | (190) |
| Children's Family Service | 48,404 | 50,526 | 50,515 | (11) |
| Commissioning Group | 7,312 | 7,687 | 8,005 | 318 |
| Street Scene | 14,899 | 15,044 | 15,068 | 24 |
| Public Health | 13,799 | 13,766 | 13,778 | 12 |
| HB Public Law | 1,908 | 1,932 | 2,139 | 207 |
| Barnet Group | 2,937 | 4,304 | 4,035 | (269) |
| Re | 820 | 2,178 | 3,035 | 857 |
| CSG | 24,178 | 24,697 | 24,573 | (124) |
| Central Expenses | 69,737 | 62,103 | 60,761 | $(1,342)$ |


| Description | Original <br> Budget | Final <br> Budget <br> $2013 / 14$ | Final <br> Outturn <br> $2013 / 14$ | Variance |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Service Total | 293,074 | 292,972 | 292,852 | $(120)$ |
| Allocations agreed <br> from GF Balances | - | - |  |  |
| General Fund <br> Balance (excluding <br> schools balance) at <br> $31 / 03 / 13$ |  | - |  |  |
| General Fund <br> Balances as at <br> $31 / 03 / 14$ |  |  | $(15,830)$ |  |


| Description | Original <br> Budget | Final <br> Budget <br> $2013 / 14$ | Final <br> Outturn <br> $2013 / 14$ | Variance |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Housing Revenue | - | - | - | - |
| Account | - |  |  |  |


| Description | Original <br> Budget | Final <br> Budget <br> $2013 / 14$ | Final <br> Outturn <br> $2013 / 14$ | Variance |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Dedicated Schools <br> Grant | $(91)$ | 12 | 12 | - |

1.9.2 The Council has an improved position at the end of 2013/14. The result is that the Council's level of balances have increased slightly from $£ 15.830 \mathrm{~m}$ last year to $£ 15.950 \mathrm{~m}$ this year. This is in excess of the target level of general fund balances of $£ 15.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

Table 2: General Fund Balances

|  | $£ 000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| General Fund Balances bring forward 1 April |  |
| 2013 | $(15,780)$ |
| Budgeted Use of Balance | - |
| Outturn Variation | $(120)$ |
| General Fund Balances 31 March 2014 | $(15,950)$ |

### 1.9.3 Commentary for Budget Variances

## Adults and Communities

The overspend for Adults and Communities of $£ 0.439 \mathrm{~m}$ represents $0.45 \%$ of the delivery unit budget ( $£ 97.080 \mathrm{~m}$ ). This predominantly relates to increasing demand and case complexity with mental health care and dementia care services over and above funding provided for demographic pressures. In addition, changes in Ordinary Residents responsibilities under national guidance have added to the financial pressures for the service. The financial challenges have been mitigated through contract renegotiations with providers in particular for residential care placements (decreased to 719, from 771 in 2012/13) and block bed contracts for older adults and physical disabilities.

## Children's Education and Skills

The underspend for Children's Education and Skills of $£ 0.190 \mathrm{~m}$ represents $1.99 \%$ of the delivery unit budget ( $£ 9.567 \mathrm{~m}$ ). This relates to a number of established posts being held vacant and overachievement of income from traded services within the education partnership and commercial services division.

## Commissioning Group

The Commissioning Group overspend of $£ 0.318 \mathrm{~m}$ represents a variance of $4.14 \%$ of the delivery unit budget ( $£ 7.687 \mathrm{~m}$ ). This predominantly relates to the interim structure within the Commercial Team due to the delay in commencement of the contract following the Judicial Review process. Steps have been taken to recruit permanent staff in order to mitigate the financial risk in 2014/15.

## HB Public Law

HB Public Law overspent by $£ 0.207 \mathrm{~m}$, representing a variance of $10.71 \%$ of the delivery unit budget ( $£ 1.932 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The overspend is due to the cost of additional hours purchased from HB Public Law. As reported to the Audit Committee in May 2014, steps have been taken by the Commercial Services team to mitigate the financial pressure for the 2014/15 financial year.

## Barnet Group

As part of the quarter three monitoring report, $£ 0.916 \mathrm{~m}$ was transferred to the service from the contingency budget to fund the increased demand for temporary accommodation. The steps taken by Barnet Homes in previous reporting periods to mitigate the financial pressures, coupled with higher than expected income on temporary accommodation (arrears levels met the target of less than $4 \%$ ) has resulted in a favourable variance of $6.25 \%$ (£0.269m).

## Re

The final outturn on Re is an overspend of $£ 0.857 \mathrm{~m}$ (39.35\%), against a budget of $£ 2.178 \mathrm{~m}$. The variance is due to TUPE transfer alignment which includes the PIT team, Cemetery and Crematoriums reorganisation and staff increments between TUPE list and transfer date. Highways and Cemetery and Crematoriums income targets have not been achieved as at 31st March, these areas form part of the income guarantee and are not due to be achieved until 30th September (contract year-end).

## Central Expenses

The underspend of $£ 1.342 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $2.16 \%$ ) within Central Expenses has favourably reduced the financial pressures within other services. As previously reported, the variance is predominantly related to a reduction in expenditure for the North London Waste Authority and the London Pension Fund Authority.

### 1.9.4 Housing Revenue Account

The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is showing a breakeven position for the 2013/14 financial year. As budgeted for 2013/14, £1.241m was transferred from HRA balances to support the accounts position, this has reduced the balance as at the 31 March 2014 of $£ 14.831$ m which will be utilised in future years to fund the HRA business plan.

Table 3: Housing Revenue Account Balances

|  | $£^{\prime} 000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| HRA Balances brought forward 1 April 2013 | $(16,072)$ |
| Budgeted Use of Balance | 1,241 |
| Outturn Variation | - |
| HRA Balances 31 March 2014 | $(14,831)$ |

### 1.9.5 Dedicated Schools Grant

The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is showing a breakeven position for the 2013/14 financial year. During the year £1.345m was transferred to the DSG reserve, which has resulted in a balance as at the 31 March 2014 of $£ 3.678 \mathrm{~m}$. This will be reported in July 14 to the Schools Forum.

Table 4: Dedicated Schools Grant Balances

|  | $£^{\prime} 000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| DSG Balances b/fwd 1 April 2013 | $(2,333)$ |
| Budgeted Use of Balance | - |
| Outturn Variation | $(1,345)$ |
| General Fund Balances 31 March 2014 | $(3,678)$ |

### 1.9.6 School Balances

The balances held by schools, net of outstanding loans to the General Fund have increased by $£ 0.427 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 15.189 \mathrm{~m}$ as at 31 March 2014.

Table 5: School Balances

| Balances Held <br> by Schools <br> Under | Balance as <br> at 31/03/13 <br> Delegation | Balance as <br> at 31/03/14 | Increasel <br> (Decrease) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nursery | 5000 | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ |
| Primary | 11,335 | 707 | 146 |
| Secondary | 2,287 | 11,930 | 595 |
| Special | 904 | 742 | $(398)$ |
| Pupil Referral | 989 | $(162)$ |  |
| Unit | - | 99 | 99 |


| Balances Held <br> by Schools <br> Under | Balance as <br> at $31 / 03 / 13$ | Balance as <br> at $31 / 03 / 14$ | Increase/ <br> (Decrease) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Delegation | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Total | 15,087 | 15,367 | 280 |
| Less <br> Outstanding <br> General Fund <br> Advances to <br> Schools | $(325)$ | $(178)$ | 147 |
| Net Position | 14,762 |  | 427 |

### 1.9.7 Provisions

Provisions are made where an event has taken place that gives the Council a legal or constructive obligation that required settlement by a transfer of economic benefits or service potential and a reliable estimate can be made of the account of the obligation. For instance, the Council may be involved in a court case that could eventually result in the making of a settlement or the payment of compensation.

As at the 31 March 2014 the Council held provisions of $£ 10.515 \mathrm{~m}$.
Table 6: Provisions

| Provision <br> brought <br> forward | In Year <br> Related <br> Expenditure | Written <br> Back in <br> Year | New <br> Reserves <br> Provision | Provision <br> carry <br> forward |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3013 |  |  |  | March |
| $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | 2014 <br> Exil |
| 11,180 | $(1,743)$ | $(207)$ | 1,285 | 10,515 |

### 1.9.8 Reserves

The Council has set aside specific amounts as reserves for future policy purposes or to cover contingencies. As at the 31 March 2014 the Council held reserves of $£ 91.625 \mathrm{~m}$.

Table 7: Reserves

| Reserves | Reserve b/fwd 01 April 2013 £000 | In year related Expenditure | New <br> Reserves Raised | Reserve c/fwd 31 March 2014 £000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central - <br> Financing | 3,027 | (355) | - | 2,673 |
| Central Infrastructure | 14,956 | - | 7,609 | 22,565 |
| Central - Risk | 16,267 | $(8,224)$ | 7,457 | 15,500 |
| Central Service Development | 6,517 | $(3,251)$ | 6,311 | 9,577 |
| Central Transformation | 13,544 | $(5,156)$ | 2,905 | 11,293 |
| Service - Other | 7,468 | $(1,864)$ | 6,839 | 12,443 |
| Sub Total General Fund Earmarked Reserves | 61,779 | $(18,850)$ | 31,120 | 74,049 |
| Service - DSG | 2,333 | - | 1,344 | 3,678 |
| Service Housing Benefits | 3,437 | $(2,166)$ | 6,397 | 7,669 |
| Service - NLSR | 2,738 | $(1,527)$ | 18 | 1,228 |
| Service - PFI | 2,545 | $(2,101)$ | 1,721 | 2,165 |
| Service - <br> Section 256 - <br> NHS Social Care Funding | 2,276 | (989) | 309 | 1,596 |
| Service - Public Health |  |  | 831 | 831 |
| Special Parking Account (SPA) | 409 | - | - | 409 |
| Sub Total Ring Fenced | 13,738 | $(6,783)$ | 10,621 | 17,576 |
| Total All Earmarked | 75,517 | $(25,633)$ | 41,741 | 91,625 |


|  | Reserve <br> b/fwd | In year <br> related | New <br> Reserves | Reserve <br> c/fwd |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reserves | 01 April | Expenditure | Raised | 31 March |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2014 |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Reserves |  |  |  |  |

### 1.9.9 2013/14 Capital Monitoring

The total expenditure during 2013/14 on the Council's capital programme was $£ 103.246 \mathrm{~m}, £ 76.281 \mathrm{~m}$ of this related to the general fund programme and $£ 26.965 \mathrm{~m}$ for the HRA capital programme. The table below summarises the expenditure by each service.

Table 8: Capital Programme Position

| Service | £'000 | \% of Capital <br> Programme <br> Expenditure |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Adults and Communities | 773 | $0.75 \%$ |
| Children's Education and Skills | 37,364 | $36.19 \%$ |
| Children's Family Services | 837 | $0.81 \%$ |
| Capital Schemes Managed by Schools | 4,285 | $4.15 \%$ |
| Commissioning Group | 6,814 | $6.60 \%$ |
| Street Scene | 11,405 | $11.05 \%$ |
| The Barnet Group | 546 | $0.53 \%$ |
| Re | 14,016 | $13.58 \%$ |
| CSG | 241 | $0.23 \%$ |
| General Fund Programme | $\mathbf{7 6 , 2 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 8 \%}$ |
| HRA | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 1 2 \%}$ |
| Total Capital Programme | $\mathbf{1 0 3 , 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

The capital monitoring summary and scheme details by service directorate is set out in Appendix C.

Table nine below analyses the 2013/14 capital programme as at the 31 March 2014, followed by table ten showing the proposed funding changes to the Capital Programme. A detailed analysis of the changes including, additional, deletions and budget movements is provided in Appendix D.

Table 9: 2013/14 Capital Outturn Analysis - Summary

| Service | 2013/14 <br> Budget (including prior year slippage) | In year Addition/ (Deletion) | Current Budget | Actual Spend | Outturn Slippage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Adults \& Comm. | 1,904 | 477 | 2,381 | 773 | $(1,608)$ |
| Children's Education and Skills | 70,465 | 1,470 | 71,935 | 37,364 | $(34,571)$ |
| Children's Family Services | 3,516 | 862 | 4,378 | 837 | $(3,541)$ |
| Capital <br> Schemes Managed by Schools | 4,285 | - | 4,285 | 4,285 | - |
| Comm. Group | - | 33,709 | 33,709 | 6,814 | $(26,895)$ |
| Street Scene | 6,922 | 7,928 | 14,850 | 11,405 | $(3,445)$ |
| The Barnet Group | - | 840 | 840 | 546 | (294) |
| Re Delivery Unit | 30,046 | 3,612 | 33,658 | 14,016 | $(19,642)$ |
| CSG Delivery Unit | 22,063 | -22,026 | 37 | 241 | 204 |
| General Fund Prog. | 139,201 | 26,872 | 166,073 | 76,281 | $(89,792)$ |
| HRA | 28,706 | 7,093 | 35,799 | 26,965 | $(8,834)$ |
| Total Capital Prog. | 167,907 | 33,965 | 201,872 | 103,246 | $(98,626)$ |

Table 10: 2013/14 Capital Funding Changes

| Service | Grant | Capital <br> Receipts <br> Ren |  <br> Reserves <br> Contributions <br> $£ 000$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults \& Comm. | $£ 000$ | - | - | 158 |

1.9.10 There has been a $24.32 \%$ reduction in the capital programme this quarter, with the outturn expenditure being $£ 103.246 \mathrm{~m}$ against the latest budget of $£ 136.425 \mathrm{~m}$. Over the full financial year there has been slippage of $£ 98.626 \mathrm{~m}$, representing $48.86 \%$ of the original approved programme. While this needs to improve, in 2012/13 the slippage was $65.12 \%$.

The main outturn slippage this quarter is as follows:

- The Children's Education and Skills programme has slipped by $£ 7.105 \mathrm{~m}$. This is primarily due to the school expansion programme to create additional permanent primary places. The variance is made up of a number of schools, but notably Menorah Foundation and Orion Primary. In addition there has been slippage in other capital schemes notably Copthall and Compton.
- The Commissioning Group programme shows a favourable movement of $£ 12.402 \mathrm{~m}$ due to a change in accounting treatment of the CSG and Re transformation projects. It is important to note however, that the expenditure has been incurred and it has been treated as revenue expenditure as opposed to capital.
- The Regeneration capital programme has slipped in the last quarter by $£ 9.348 \mathrm{~m}$. This is due to a number of schemes, including $£ 1.482 \mathrm{~m}$ on the local implementation plan for highways maintenance, $£ 1.879 \mathrm{~m}$ on pavements, $£ 1.416 \mathrm{~m}$ on public realm projects at Cricklewood and North Finchley as well as $£ 886 \mathrm{k}$ on empty properties.


### 1.9.11 Agency Costs

The table below details all agency staff costs incurred during the 2013/14 financial year in comparison to 2012/13. This identifies that agency expenditure has reduced by $£ 3.276 \mathrm{~m}$ (12\%) from last year.

Table 11 - Agency Costs for 2013/14

|  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/2014 |  |  | 2012/13 vs. 2013/14 <br> Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directorate | Agency Spend | Consult ant Spend | Total | Agency Spend | Consult ant Spend | Total |  |
|  | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ |
| Adults and Communities | 3,630 | 783 | 4,413 | 4,141 | 767 | 4,908 | 495 |
| Assurance | 53 | 10 | 63 | 41 | 5 | 46 | (17) |
| Children's Education | 1,314 | 3,583 | 4,897 | 1,445 | 973 | 2,418 | $(2,480)$ |
| Children's Family Service | 2,363 | 83 | 2,446 | 2,802 | 110 | 2,912 | 466 |
| Commissioni ng Group | 2,447 | 3,728 | 6,175 | 3,390 | 2,133 | 5,523 | (652) |
| Street Scene | 1,353 | 64 | 1,417 | 1,766 | 99 | 1,865 | 448 |
| Public Health | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| HB Public Law | 234 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (234) |
| Barnet Group | 10 | 179 | 189 | 0 | 226 | 226 | 37 |
| Re | 942 | 789 | 1,731 | 870 | 2,553 | 3,423 | 1,692 |
| CSG | 4,073 | 476 | 4,549 | 2,851 | 119 | 2,969 | $(1,580)$ |
| Central Expenses | 0 | 152 | 152 | 0 | 13 | 13 | (139) |
| HRA | 166 | 1,547 | 1,714 | 226 | 156 | 382 | $(1,332)$ |
| Total | 16,586 | 11,393 | 27,979 | 17,531 | 7,172 | 24,703 | $(3,276)$ |

### 1.9.12 Transformation Programme

The accumulated expenditure on the Transformation programme and projections for future years is included in Appendix $E$.

Wave 1
$\overline{\text { Wave } 1}$ total spend as at 31 March 2014 is $£ 11.680 \mathrm{~m}$, an adverse $£ 0.099 \mathrm{~m}$ variance against Cabinet Resources Committee approved budgets of $£ 11.582 \mathrm{~m}$, representing a variance of $0.85 \%$.

Wave 2

Wave 2 total spend as at 31 March 2014 is $£ 9.798$ m, an overspend of $£ 0.279 \mathrm{~m}$ against Cabinet Resource Committee approved budgets totalling $£ 10.077 \mathrm{~m}$. Spend to date amounts to $£ 8.609 \mathrm{~m}$, with outstanding commitments totalling $£ 1.189 \mathrm{~m}$.

Judicial Review
Total expenditure on the Judicial Review as at 31 March 2014 is $£ 0.584 m$, a favourable variance of $£ 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ against the approved budget of $£ 0.609 \mathrm{~m}$.

### 1.10 Capital Budget 2014/15 Adjustments

1.10.1 Table 12 below sets out a number of proposed and deletions to the 2014/15 capital programme.

Table 12 - Capital Programme in Year Additions and Deletions

| Directorate | Capital Programme | Funding Type | Amount £'000 | Explanation for Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re | Highways Investment | Borrowing | 62 | New addition to programme - Orion School |
| Re | Travel Plan Implementation | S106 | 10 | New addition to programme for Travel Plan Monitoring |
| Re | Local <br> Implementation Plan | Grant | 307 | New addition to programme for school travel planning work |
| Re | Local Implementation Plan 2014-15 | Grant | 209 | New cycling schemes \& principle road renewals |
| Re | Drainage | Grant | 62 | Sustainable Drainage Systems - addition to the programme |
| Re | Local Implementation Plan 2014-15 | Grant | 517 | Additional DfT Funding for road repairs |
| Street Scene | Parks \& Open Spaces and Tree Planting | S106 | 40 | Additional s106 funding |
| Children's Education and Skills | Orion Rebuild | Borrowing | (62) |  |
| Tota |  |  | 1,145 |  |

### 1.11 Treasury Outturn

1.11.1 In compliance with the requirements of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Code of Practice this report provides Members with a summary report of the treasury management activity during the period to 31 March 2014. The Prudential Indicators have not been breached and a prudent approach has been taken in relation to investment activity with priority being given to security and liquidity over yield. Further details of compliance with prudential indicators are contained in Appendix F.

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to set an Affordable Borrowing Limit, irrespective of its indebted status. This is a limit which should not be breached. During the year to 31 March 2014 there were no breaches of the Authorised Limit and the Operational Boundary.

The Council's timeframes and credit criteria for placing cash deposits and the parameters for undertaking any further borrowing are set out in the Treasury Management Strategy. The Treasury Management Strategy 2013/14 was approved by Council on 6 March 2013 and the revised strategy applied with immediate effect. The Treasury Management Strategy demands regular compliance reporting to this Committee to include an analysis of deposits made during the review period. This also reflects good practice and will serve to reassure this Committee that all current deposits for investment are in line with agreed principles as contained within the corporate Treasury Management Strategy.

This report therefore asks the Committee to note the continued cautious approach to the current investment strategy: to note also, that as a result of considerable stabilisation and in some cases improvement in credit metrics, the revised treasury strategy for 2013/14 has extended the maximum duration to 2 years, albeit with maximum recommended duration of deposits for different banks depending on risk assessment.

### 1.11.2 Investment Performance

Investment deposits are managed internally. As at 31 March 2014, deposits outstanding were $£ 177.3$ million (excluding Icelandic deposits), achieving an average annual rate of return of 0.48 per cent (adjusted for Icelandic deposits) against a benchmark average (London Interbank Bid Rate - LIBID) of 0.41 per cent. A list of deposits outstanding as at 31 March 2014 is attached as Appendix G.

The benchmark, the average 7-day LIBID rate, is provided by the authority's treasury advisors Arlingclose. The LIBID rate is the rate that a Euromarket bank is willing to pay to attract a deposit from another Euromarket bank in London.

### 1.11.3 Icelandic Bank Deposits

On 28 October 2011, the Supreme Court of Iceland upheld the District Court judgment for the test cases that local authorities' claims are deposits that qualify in full for priority in the bank administrations. The Council is expected to recover an amount in excess of the principal deposited in the Icelandic bank deposits.

Glitnir - In March 2012, approximately 82p/£ was recovered from a mixture of Sterling, Euro and US Dollar payments. The Euro and US Dollar amounts were converted via a spot rate into GBP. The remaining 18 per cent remains held in Icelandic Krónur. To date the Council has received $£ 10.97$ million from the Glitnir Winding- up Board with a further $£ 2.5$ million held in an escrow account in Icelandic Krónur, because of the Icelandic currency export restrictions.

Landsbanki - The Landsbanki Winding up Board made four partial distributions, via a mixture of Euro, US Dollars and Sterling payments. The Council had received $£ 8.6$ million to December 2013. Further partial distributions were expected to be made until at least 2019. Some of these further distributions were to be held in escrow accounts and there was no indication of when payment might be received.

The administration of the insolvent estate of LBI is likely to continue for several years given the complexity of the ongoing issues in Iceland which creates a level of uncertainty around the timing of recoveries through the administration process. A sale of the claim by auction removed the uncertainty and provided immediate access to funds owed to the Council.

The Council approved the sale of the Landsbanki claim under executive powers on 20 January 2014 and the claim was sold by auction on 30 January 2014. The Council received $£ 6.4$ million from the sale. This represents full and final settlement of the Council's interest in the LBI winding up board distribution. In total $£ 15.064$ million has been recovered from LBI which equates to $91.17 \mathrm{p} / £$ of the total distributable amount of $£ 16.5$ million. The shortfall has been met from within the existing risk reserve.

### 1.11.4 Debt Management

The total value of long term loans as at 31 March 2014 was $£ 304.08$ m. There has been no external borrowing in the financial year. The average total cost of borrowing for the quarter ending 31 March 2014 was 3.89 per cent.

## 2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 These recommendations are to allow the Council to meet the budget agreed by Council in 5 March 2013.

## 3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED <br> 3.1 None.

## 4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 The final accounts for 2013/14 reflect the position outlined in this report.

## 5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

### 5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

5.1.1 This report presents the performance of the Council at meeting the measures of success for the Corporate Priorities. This report also includes performance indicators of the delivery of services by the Council, such as the performance levels of contracts, internal Delivery Units and partners.
5.1.2 The past three years of performance information is available at: www.barnet.gov.uk/performance
5.1.3 Robust budget and performance monitoring are essential to ensuring that there are adequate and appropriately directed resources to support delivery and achievement of Council priorities and targets as set out in the Corporate Plan. In addition, adherence to the Prudential Framework ensures capital expenditure plans remain affordable in the longer term and that capital resources are maximised.
5.1.4 Relevant Council strategies and policies include the following:

- Corporate Plan 2013-14;
- Medium Term Financial Strategy;
- Treasury Management Strategy;
- Debt Management Strategy;
- Insurance Strategy;
- Risk Management Strategy; and
- Capital, Assets and Property Strategy.
5.1.5 The priorities of the Council are aligned to the delivery of the Health and Wellbeing Strategy.


### 5.2 Resources (Finance \& Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

5.2.1 Robust budget and performance monitoring plays an essential part in enabling an organisation to deliver its objectives efficiently and effectively.
5.2.2 The revenue outturn has resulted in a favourable variance of $£ 0.120 \mathrm{~m}$ which has been transferred to general fund balances. This has increased the
general fund balance to $£ 15.950 \mathrm{~m}$ which is above the Council's minimum level of $£ 15.0 \mathrm{~m}$ by $£ 0.950 \mathrm{~m}$.
5.2.3 The capital outturn during the last quarter has seen further slippage of $£ 33.179 \mathrm{~m}$ of which $£ 21.377 \mathrm{~m}$ has been requested to be carried forward into 2014/15 for expenditure on the approved schemes.

### 5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

5.3.1 Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 states that: "without prejudice to section 111, every local authority shall make arrangements for the proper administration of their financial affairs and shall secure that one of their officers has responsibility for the administration of those affairs". Section 111 of the Local Government Act 1972, relates to the subsidiary powers of local authorities.
5.3.2 Section 28 of the Local Government Act 2003 (the Act) imposes a statutory duty on a billing or major precepting authority to monitor, during the financial year, its income and expenditure against the budget calculations. If the monitoring establishes that the budgetary situation has deteriorated, the authority must take such action as it considers necessary to deal with the situation. Definition as to whether there is deterioration in an authority's financial position is set out in sub-section 28(4) of the Act.
5.3.3 The Council's Constitution, in Part 3, Responsibility for Functions, states in paragraph 4.6 the functions of the Cabinet Resources Committee including:
a) Monitor the trading position of appropriate Council services, carry out debt analysis and look at income sources and charging policies;
b) To write off debt;
c) To determine external or cross-boundary trading limit; and
d) Approval of schemes not in performance management plans but not outside the Council's budget or policy framework.
5.3.4 The Council's Constitution, Part 1, Financial Regulations Part 4 section 4.4.13 states the Chief Finance Officer will report in detail to Cabinet Resources Committee at least four times a year on the revenue and capital budgets and wider financial standing in addition to two summary reports at the beginning and end of the financial year.
5.3.5 The Council's Constitution, Part 1, Financial Regulations Part 4 section 4.4.3 states virements can only be made after following the rules in the table below:

| Approval by Cabinet or Cabinet Resources Committee is required if any of the |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | The virement affects any the following budget types: <br> - Financing charges <br> - Rates and other taxes <br> - Recharges <br> - Insurances |
|  | The virement is for contingent budgets for amounts over $£ 250,000$ (as determined by the Section 151 Officer in consultation with the appropriate Chief Officer) |
|  | The virement would cause the total budget head to be exceeded in the current year or increase the commitment in future years |
|  | The virements are to be financed from savings arising from competitive tendering |
| Approval by Cabinet Member is required if any of the following conditions exist: |  |
|  | The virement will transfer funds for the creation of new staff posts |
|  |  |
| If none of the above conditions apply, then the following approval levels apply: |  |
|  | Virements within a service that do not alter the staffing by more than $10 \%$ are approved by Service Director |
|  | Virements up to a value of $£ 50,000$ must be approved by the relevant Chief Officer |
|  | Virements over $£ 50,000$ and up to $£ 250,000$ must be approved by the appropriate Cabinet Member |
|  | Virements over $£ 250,000$ and up to $£ 2,500,000$ must be approved by Cabinet or Cabinet Resources Committee |
|  | Virements over $£ 2,500,000$ or over $10 \%$ of the net service budget must be approved by Full Council |

## Capital Virements

Cabinet or Cabinet Resources Committee approval is required for all capital budget and funding virements and yearly profile changes (slippage) between approved
capital programmes i.e. as per the budget book. The report must show the
proposed:
i) budget transfers between projects and by year
ii) funding transfers between projects and by year and
iii) a summary based on a template approved by the Section 151 Officer

Funding substitutions at year end in order to maximise funding are the responsibility of the Section 151 Officer.

### 5.4 Risk Management

5.4.1 Various projects within the Council's revenue budget and capital programme are supported by time-limited grants. Where there are delays to the implementation of these projects, there is the risk that the associated grants will be lost. If this occurs either the projects will be aborted or a decision to divert resources from other Council priorities will be required.
5.4.2 The revised forecast level of balances needs to be considered in light of the risk identified in 5.4.1 above.

### 5.5 Equalities and Diversity

5.5.1 The Equality Act 2010 requires organisations exercising public functions to demonstrate that due regard has been paid to equalities in:

- Elimination of unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010
- Advancement of equality of opportunity between people from different


## groups

- Fostering of good relations between people from different groups
5.5.2 The Equality Act 2010 identifies the following protected characteristics: age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex and sexual orientation.
5.5.3 The council aims to adopt a proportionate approach to meeting the duty to pay due regard to equalities by:
- Trying to understand the diversity of our customers to improve our services
- Considering the impact of our decisions on different groups to ensure they are fair
- Mainstreaming equalities into business and financial planning and integrating equalities into everything we do.
- Learning more about Barnet's diverse communities by engaging with them

This is also what we expect of our partners.
5.5.4 This is set out in the council's Equalities Policy together with our strategic Equalities Objective- as set out in the Corporate Plan - that citizens will be treated equally with understanding and respect; have equal opportunities and receive quality services provided to best value principles.
5.5.5 Progress against the performance measures we use is published on our website at:
www.barnet.gov.uk/info/200041/equality and diversity/224/equality and diversity

### 5.6 Consultation and Engagement

5.6.1 During the process of formulating budget and Corporate Plan proposals for 2013/14 onwards, three phases of consultation took place:

- Phase One (October 2012 - November 2012): Residents' Perception telephone survey.
- Phase two (November 2012 - January 2013): Corporate Plan consultation
- Phase three (October 2012 - January 2013): Finance and business planning (including proposed budget) consultation
5.6.2 The results and impact on the Corporate Plan and budget are outlined in the Cabinet Report to Committee on the 5 March 2013 (Item 8).
http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=162\&MId=6629\&V er=4


## 6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 Council, 5 March 2013 (Decision item 8.1.1) - approved the Council Budget and Council Tax 2013/14.
http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=162\&MId=6629\&V er=4
6.2 Cabinet Resources Committee, 24 June 2013 (Decision item 7) - approved the Outturn Report 2012/13.
http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=151\&MId=7457\&V er=4
6.3 Cabinet Resources Committee 24 September 2013 (Decision item 6) approved quarter 1 monitoring 2013/14.
http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=151\&MId=7459\&V er=4
6.4 Cabinet Resources Committee 16 December 2013 (Decision item 6) approved quarter 2 monitoring 2013/14.
http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=151\&MId=7461\&V er=4
6.5 Cabinet Resources Committee 25 February 2014 (Decision item 11) approved quarter 3 monitoring 2013/14.
http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=151\&MId=7520\&V er=4
Performance Report: Quarter Four 2013/14

1. Corporate performance overview
1.1 Corporate performance dashboard
The methodology for calculating these health ratings is explained in section 6 of this report.

2. Whole council summary tables

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{y y}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஃ } \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{E}{C N} \frac{E}{C N} \frac{E}{L_{N}}$ | $\frac{E}{\omega} \sum_{\omega}^{E}$ | $\frac{E}{4}$ |  | ஃ๐ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | N | m | - |

2.2 Revenue budget - corporate overview - see monitoring report
2.3 Capital budget - corporate overview - see monitoring report
2.4 Corporate Plan performance - corporate overview by strategic objectives
*Some outcomes overlap with the achievement of strategic objectives, where this is applicable the outcome has been stated more than once.

Percentage
of Measures
Achieved

No expected
to report

ウ゚



## Outcome*

To maintain a well-designed, attractive and accessible place, with sustainable infrastructure across the borough
To maintain the right environment for a
strong and diverse local economy
To create better life chances for children
and young people across the borough
 local NHS, so that families and individuals can maintain and improve their physical and mental health
To maintain the right environment for a strong and diverse local economy
To promote a healthy, active, independent and informed over 55 population in the borough, so that Barnet is a place that encourages and supports residents to age well
To promote family and community wellbeing and create engaged, cohesive and safe communities
To create better life chances for children
and young people across the borough To maintain a well-designed, attractive and accessible place, with sustainable
infrastructure across the borough
To promote family and community wellbeing and create engaged, cohesive and safe communities
Promoting responsible growth

 residents and businesses with the London Borough of Barnet as a place to live, work, and

## Strategic Objective

2.4.1 Corporate Plan performance Indicators

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn | Target | Numerator and Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT Variance | Benchmarking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | Increase the percentage of eligible adult social care customers receiving selfdirected support | Apr 13 - <br> Mar 14 | 67.7\% | 75.0\% | $\frac{4,280}{6,718}$ | 63.7\% | 15.1\% | $\stackrel{\nabla}{5.9 \%}$ | 70.4\% LAPS Q3 2013/14 group average |
| 1002 | Increase the percentage (and number) of eligible adult social care customers receiving direct payments to $30 \%$ | Apr 13 - <br> Mar 14 | 31.4\% | 30\% | $\frac{1,306}{4,344}$ | 30.1\% | 0.2\% | $\stackrel{\nabla}{4.3 \%}$ | No benchmarking available - local Indicator |
| 1003 | Increase the number of carers who receive support services | Apr 13 - <br> Mar 14 | 8.9\% | 8\% | $\frac{564}{6,252}$ | 9\% | 12.8\% | $1.4 \%$ | Barnet comparator group average for 2012/13 = 8.98\% (adjusted for outliers) |
| 1004 | Reduce the number of younger adults in residential and nursing care | $\begin{gathered} 01 \text { March } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | 307 | 306 | N/A | 315 | 2.9\% | $\stackrel{\nabla}{2.6 \%}$ | No benchmarking available - local Indicator |
| 1005 | Increase the \% of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reenablement/rehabilitation services | June Aug 13 | 82.6\% | 88.5\% | $\frac{445}{539}$ | 82.6\% | 6.7\% | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0 \%}$ | Barnet comparator group average for 2012/13 = 83.1\% |
| 1008 | Increase in community confidence in police and the local authority dealing with crime and anti-social behaviour | Sep 2013 | 68\% | 78\% | N/A | 70\% | 10.3\% | $2.9 \%$ | No benchmarking available |
| 1009 | Reduce adult reoffending for those under probation supervision per 1000 caseload | Oct 12 - <br> Sept 13 | 7\% | 7.2\% | N/A | HYyy緗 | 6.5\% | 10\% | London average for same period (1 Oct 2012 to 30 Sep 2013) is 7.92\% |
| 1010 | Reduce level of domestic burglary to 24.8 per 1,000 households | Mar 13 Feb 14 | 25.7 | 24.8 | N/A | 20.3 | 18.3\% | $21.2 \%$ | London average for same time (Mar 13 to Feb 14): 16.923 |

2. Children's Family Service

| CPI NO | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn | Target | Numerator and Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT <br> Variance | Benchmarking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3002 | Increase the number of early years places available for eligible two year olds from 350 to 700 | As at quarter end | 749 | 700 | N/A | 935 | 65.7\% | $54.9 \%$ | No benchmarking available |
| 3005 | Reduce the average time between a child entering care and moving in with its adoptive family, for adopted children (days) | 2011-2014 | 679 | 639 | N/A | 590 | 7.7\% | $13 \%$ | 639 Days gov.uk Barnet Baseline England 625 (2008-2011) |
| 3007 | Decrease the number of referrals to social care to 368 per 10,000 of the under 18 population | Rolling Months | 409 | 368 | N/A | 416 | 13\% | $\stackrel{\nabla}{1.7 \%}$ | 2012/13 520.7 England |
| 3008 | Reduce the number of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10 to 17 | Rolling Months | 357 | 428 | N/A | 337 | 21.3\% | $\stackrel{\Delta}{5.6 \%}$ | London 487 England 460 |
| 3009 | Increase the proportion of young offenders in education training or employment | Apr 13 - Dec 13 | 85.7\% | 75\% | $\frac{77}{100}$ | 77\% | 2.7\% | $10.2 \%$ | London 65.3\% National 58.4\% |

Street Scene
ツ

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn | Target | Numerator and Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT Variance | Benchmarking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 4002 \\ (\mathrm{~A}) \end{gathered}$ | Increase the percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting to $40 \%$ | Oct $13-\operatorname{Dec} 13$ | 35\% | 40\% | $\frac{13,555}{35,321}$ |  | 4.1\% | $9.8 \%$ | Ranked 10th out of 22 available London Borough submissions (Waste DataFlow extracted 16/04/2014) |
| 4003 | Launch 10 new 'Adopt a place' community schemes at different locations within the borough | Apr 13 - Mar 14 | 2 | 10 | N/A | 4 | 60\% | 100\% | No benchmarking available - local indicator |
| $4004$ <br> (A) | Increase transactions for parking bays (on-street) in Town centres | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } 14-\mathrm{Mar} \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | 414,730 | 381,100 | N/A | 419,926 | 10.2\% | $1.3 \%$ | No benchmarking available - local indicator |
| 4004 (B) | Increase transactions for car parks in Town Centres | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } 14-\mathrm{Mar} \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | 71,274 | 67,900 | N/A | 70,867 | 4.4\% | $\stackrel{\nabla}{0.6 \%}$ | No benchmarking available - local indicator |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn | Target | Numerator <br> and <br> Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT Varian ce | Benchmarking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | Reduce the prevalence of smoking in pregnancy rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 13 \text { - Dec } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 4.8\% | 6\% | $1, \frac{53}{228}$ | 4.3\% | 28.3\% | $9.5 \%$ | This is better than the rate for England (12\%), and London (5.2\%) |
| 2003 | Increase the number of eligible people who receive an NHS Health Check to 9000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 13 \text {-Dec } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | 2,423 | 2,000 | N/A | 918 | 54.1\% | $62.1 \%$ | The level offered and received in Barnet is worse than the London and England averages. (Offered: Barnet 1.9\%, England 4.5\% and London 5.6\% Received: Barnet 1\%, England 2.1\% and London 2.3\%) |

5. Barnet Homes

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPI } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn | Target | Numerator and Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT <br> Variance | Benchmarking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8001$ <br> (A) | Reduce the number of households placed in emergency accommodation to 500 | Rolling Month | 549 | 500 | N/A | 471 | 5.8\% | $14.2 \%$ | Between Q2 and Q3 13/14, compared with all London Boroughs (including City of London), Barnet has improved its ranking from 30th to 28th. Across London there was as a $1 \%$ decrease in households in EA whereas there was a $14 \%$ decrease for Barnet. |
| $8001$ <br> (B) | Reduce the average length of time spent by households in short-term nightly purchased accommodation to 26 weeks | Rolling Month | 41.1 | 26 | N/A | 43.8 | 68.5\% | $\underset{6.6 \%}{\nabla}$ | Since Q4 2013/14 the average has increased from 30.2 weeks. |


| CPI NO | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn* | Target | Numerator and <br> Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT <br> Variance | Benchmarking ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM022 <br> (b)** | Annual Programme relating to Carriageway Resurfacing schemes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } 14- \\ & \text { Mar } 14 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | 100\% | $\frac{4}{4}$ | 100\% | 0\% | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0 \%}$ | None available local Barnet indicator |
| NM022 $(\mathrm{c})^{\star *}$ | Annual Programme relating to Footway Relay schemes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan } 14- \\ & \text { Mar } 14 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | 100\% | $\frac{5}{5}$ | 100\% | 0\% | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0 \%}$ | None available local Barnet indicator |

2.5 Delivery Performance- Key Performance Indicators
Please see performance page for detailed reports www.barnet.gov.uk/currentperformance

### 2.6 Contract Performance - Overview

## Barnet Homes - 74\% of targets met

17 of the 23 performance target were met, successes include:

- The numbers in Emergency Temporary Accommodation has reduced below 500 and is now on target. Homeless preventions have
- The new Anti-Social Behaviour policy and procedure has resulted in an increase in customer satisfaction and a reduction in legal costs


## CSG - 96\% of targets met

22 of the 23 performance target were met, successes include:
Customer Service continued its strong performance with overall Customer Satisfaction levels across the channels rising to 70\% in Q4 in calls in relation to Coun Tarch management that recognises the regularly changing circumstances of effected customers.

 been fully scrutinised, approved and signed-off by the Councils Procurement Board.

With regard to the additional Medium Term Financial savings (MTFS) Procurement projects, the contractual guarantee is $£ 4,200,000$ by the end of contract year 2 (August 2015). Nine projects have so far been identified to deliver this and they are expected to exceed the $£ 4.2 \mathrm{~m}$ target, these will be managed through the LB Barnet Procurement Board governance process.

## HB Public Law - 90\% of targets met

9 of the 10 performance target were met, successes include: the activity is illegal from the outset.
The restructure of Legal Admin and Assistants is complete. HB Law successfully contested an appeal against a trading standards confiscation order of 2012. The Court of Appeal found that: The original sentence requiring payment of $£ 109,970$ was preserved ( 2 year sentence in default) and prevented either the reduction of the amount payable to the level of profit $(£ 11,140)$ or the quashing of the order in its entirety. The judgment will further clarify the law on confiscation in favour of prosecuting authorities that seek to recover the maximum amount possible from a defendant's assets where
Recruitment to permanent vacancies will be undertaken in Q1 2014/15.
HB Law has agreed a new Service Level Agreement with Regional Enterprise Ltd ( Re ) acting on behalf of the Council in delivering
Planning, Highways and Regeneration in the London Borough of Barnet.

## $R^{\mathrm{e}}-\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ of targets met

54 of the 58 performance targets were met, successes include:
The Planning Service has delivered significant improvements in performance and enforcement action with the result that:
On speed of decision making the service has risen from 5th to 4th best performing overall in London (CLG Stats 31 Dec 13).
 action has been successfully undertaken in Barnet
Excellent progress in driving forward the Growth and Estate Regeneration programme including:

| - Official "Ground-breaking" ceremony to mark the start of building works; Phase 1 Dollis Valley Regeneration March. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Delivery of 39 new homes, including 38 affordable and 1 for private sale at Grahame Park; marking the completion of Phase |  |
|  |  |
| - Planning consent secured for Phase 3 of Millbrook Park - Linden Homes at Mill Hill East. |  |
| Regulatory Service has achieved the following key objectives over the quarter: |  |
| - More houses in multiple-occupation (HMOs) have been licensed in the last 12 months than in any previous 12 since HMO licensing was introduced in 2006. Licensing supports the improvement of housing conditions for |  |
| Completion of the Winter Well Scheme (joint project with Barnet and Harrow Public Health). Outputs include bor advertising of the scheme, 16 homes improved, 93 health professionals and community representatives and public trained on Winter Well messages. |  |
| Your Choice Barnet - 72\% of targets met |  |
| 18 of the 25 performance targets were met, successes include: <br> - The services continue to be responsive to the needs of individuals. This has been evidenced through the as peoples, assessed, needs increase and decrease accordingly <br> - The level of referrals from other local authorities and self-referrals have both significantly exceeded the an <br> - Service Utilisations have all exceeded the annual $95 \%$ target except BILS which has achieved $90 \%$ |  |
|  |  |

### 2.7 Council project portfolio

The Council has four programme portfolios: the Transformation programme; the Capital programme, including school builds and refurbishment; the Regeneration programme; and a set of Delivery Unit Transformation programmes

| Portfolio | Red Status | Amber Status | Green Status | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transformation Programme | - | 4 | 5 | The Transformation Programme delivers in-flight transformation projects, including the development of a business case for the future of Sports and Physical Activity, the creation of a Registrars shared service and the transformation of CCTV through a new service model and refreshed technology. There are nine open projects which none are red-rated. CCTV project was amber rated due to the go-live date being delayed to ensure the final contract is ready for final sign off. |
| Capital <br> Programme | 2 | 10 | 16 | The overall Capital programme is RAG rated Green with good progress being made. Over half of the 23 open projects are green rated. However there are two red-rated projects and a number of amber rated projects report challenges resulting in delays to the project. The Menorah Foundations project is now red rated due to a delay in works of approximately 11 weeks. Park and Street Cleansing Area Operations project has also deteriorated to a red RAG due to the delay in the submission of the planning application until after June 2014. The Depot Relocation project is now amber rated as progress has now been made and the relocation option being pursued is Pinkham Way. In the last quarter the most critical red rated project was Copthall Secondary School - this has moved to green as a revised programme has been agreed with works scheduled to complete in March 2015 with the school expanding capacity and using the permanent facilities from September 2015. |
| Regeneration | - | 3 | 9 | The Regeneration programme overall is RAG rated as Green, an improvement from last quarter (an amber RAG rating) as substantial progress is being made across all schemes. There are 12 open projects of which none are red-rated. The Grahame Park project has improved from amber to green rating as significant progress is being made on the scheme. In particular, contracts have been signed between LBB, Genesis and Barnet College and the Phase 0 extension has been completed. Considerable progress is being made with the Brent Cross project, in particular the Chancellor of the Exchequer has given support to the scheme and rail station via the budget announcement in early April and conducted a site visit. One project, Colindale, has moved from green to amber primarily as the council has no control of the pace of development on third party sites which could potentially lead to deviation from its aspirational milestones. Dollis Valley has improved from amber to green; the Phase 1 demolitions are now complete and the project is on track. The size and complexity of individual projects, and dependencies with external development partners has resulted in amber ratings for some of the projects. |

Street Scene
2.8 Key projects - corporate overview
Delivery Units have a number of change projects allocated to them for delivery ( 39 , excluding those listed in section 6 above). These projects are scored by a standard methodology, with a single RAG rating based in progress against time and budget.

## Total number of projects

 of projects6 any further unforeseen works handover is due 2 May 2014. The unforeseen structural building issues have resulted in a time delay to the overall programme.
It is likely that there will be a shortfall in the short term income targets and the progression of business cases will also be delayed. However, this does not affect the level of guaranteed income but alters its timing.
Barnet Group
New Build - This project is red rated as Barnet Homes was unable to deliver the ambitious target of 20 new homes in 13/14 although it has made a start with the first 3 new Local Authority Homes which will be ready for occupation February 2014. The remaining programme is going through design and planning work. Barnet Homes currently has approval from LBB for 38 new homes and expects to meet this milestone by April 2016.
Transformation and Street Scene Transformation as well as themed programmes to improve customer experience and help the organisation work more effectively.
Delivery Unit Transformation Programmes- LBB are developing a new set of Delivery Unit programmes including Children's Transformation, Adults ranforion and Sreet Scene Trasformation as well as themed programmes to inprove customer experience and help the organisation work more


 | Green |
| :---: |
| Status |



 waste strategy. This is to be considered by borough Members in September 2014. Initial tonnage modelling work to support this work has begun.

## 3. Customer Experience


Customer satisfaction with telephone channel is consistently very high - 88\% for quarter 4, on a sample of 10,950 respondents (was $77 \%$ in quarter 3 , on a sample of 9,677 ).

| Channel | Total <br> Surveys | \% of total <br> contact | quarter 4 <br> \% Satisfied | Quarter 3 <br> \% Satisfied | Change since <br> quarter 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Telephone | 10,950 | $54 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $\mathbf{\Delta 1 1 \%}$ pts |
| F2F | 3,902 | $19 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{\Delta 1 2 \%}$ pts |
| Email | 632 | $3 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $\mathbf{\Delta} 24 \%$ pts |
| Web* | 4,878 | $24 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $\mathbf{\Delta 6 \%}$ pts |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 , 3 6 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta 9 \%}$ pts |

Contact centre telephony
The proportion of calls to the contact centre answered within 20 seconds rose from $68 \%$ in Quarter 3 to $72 \%$ in Quarter 4 , exceeding the $70 \%$ Quarter 4 target.
First contact resolution (FCR) via telephone has also been improving - reaching 49\% at the end of Quarter 4 (March 2014) - a 5\% points improvement from the start of Quarter 3 (October 2013).

## Face to face waiting times

The number of visits to Barnet House (BH) and Burnt Oak Library (BOL) increased in Quarter 4, compared with Quarter 3, but the average combined wait times for the two sites reduced, and came within targets.
BH had an average initial wait time of 2.54 minutes in quarter 4 even though it handles twice as many visits as BOL, where the wait time is 6.34 minutes. The trend reverses for secondary wait times: 6.37 minutes at BH vs. 1.82 minutes at BOL (quarter 4 average). Overall, the wait times improved by 13.5\% from December 2013 to March 2014.

The number of contact from customers via social media is increasing and needs to be managed effectively - the number of Facebook friends, Twitter followers and re-tweets is increasing as shown below.

The chart below shows the number of complaints resolved per Quarter (rather than received). It shows the increased volume over the year, as well as the high proportion upheld (found in the customer's favour).
Members Enquiries
Freedom of Information requests

The council continues to exceed its $90 \%$ target for responding within 20 working days, achieving $98.1 \%$ in Quarter 4. Response rate for the council as a whole for the 2013/2014 financial year stands at 98.9\%.
Members' enquiries should be responded to within 10 days, and performance on this is consistently high, as the chart below shows.
Responded to in 10 days

| Responded to in 10 days |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct-13 | Nov-13 | Dec-13 | Jan-14 | Feb-14 | Mar-14 |

LBB update of current performance
Based on extract of LG Inform public report on the 1 April 2014.
Overview
Please note: the services area in the report do not directly reflect Barnet's structure. The quartile rating applied is non-changeable as unitary and county council in England.

## Children's Services

Total revenue expenditure of Children's services per head of population (0-17) (2012-13)
Percentage of child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales (2012/13)
Percentage of children becoming the subject of a child protection plan for a second or subsequent time (2012/13)
Children looked after rate, per 10,000 children aged under 18 (2012/13)
Care leavers in suitable accommodation (2012/13)
Care leavers in education, employment or training (2012/13)
Adult's Services

| Total revenue expenditure on Adult's services per head of population $(2012 / 13)$ | $\mathbf{£ 4 4 4 . 1 5}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Social care-related quality of life $(2012 / 13)$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6}$ |  |
| Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support $(2012 / 13)$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ |
| Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 populations $(2012 / 13)$ |  |  |


| Housing Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total revenue expenditure on Housing services (GFRA only) per head of population (2012/13) | $\mathbf{£ 4 7 . 6 0}$ |  |
| Time taken to process housing benefit/ council tax benefit new claims and change events (2012/13 Q4) | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |
| Vacant dwellings - all, as a percentage of all dwellings in the area (2011/12) | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |  |
| Total households on the housing waiting list at 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ April (2011) |  | $\mathbf{8 1 5}$ |

The measures where Barnet is highlighted as below the unitary and county council's in England benchmark is listed below:
Adult's Services: Social care-related quality of life (2012/13)
The LG Information report places Barnet in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile with a score of $18.6(2012 / 13)$ across all unitary or county councils.
Within London (excl. City of London) Barnet is above the average score of 18.3 and is the $8^{\text {th }}$ best performer for this indicator.

| 5. Human Resource/People performance - corporate overview |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key corporate HR targets and indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category | Indicator |  | Period Covered | Target |  | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT Variance | Benchmarking |  |  |
| Attendance | Average number of sickness absence days per employee (Rolling year) |  | April 13 March 14 | 6 |  | 7.2 | -20\% | - | 9 days <br> (CIPFA, All Members \& other Unitary Authorities 2012) |  |  |
| Performance Reviews | \% objectives set for eligible staff only |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } 13 \text { - Mar } \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | 100\% |  | Next reported in Quarter 1 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost | Variance of total paybill to budget |  | Jan - Mar 14 | +/- 5\% |  | £145,196 | 2.2\% | $\triangle$ | N/A : measure applicable to LBB only |  |  |
| Employee Relations | High Risk - Employee Relations cases as \% of total cases |  | As at 31 <br> March 2014 | N/A |  | 1.1\% | N/A | - | N/A : measure applicable to LBB only |  |  |
| As at 31 <br> March 2014 | ESTABLISHED POSITIONS AS FTE <br> Total number of Baret Council Posts; these posts may be unoccupied, due to be deleted or held to be filled at a later date |  | EMPLOYEES COVERING ESTABLISHED POSITIONS AS FTE <br> Total Number of employees, permanent, temporary and fixed working for Barnet and occupying an established post |  |  | MSP RESOURCE AS HEADCOUNT Total number of agency staff, interims or consultants provided by our Managed Service Provider (non Council employees) |  | NON MSP RESOURCE AS Headcount <br> Total number of agency staff, interims or consultants provided through agencies outside or Managed Service Provider(non Council employees) |  |  | AVAILABLE CASUAL RESOURCE AS FTE Number of workers who undertake work on an ad hoc basis (Council employees) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Established Positions (FTE) | Occupied (FTE) | Permanent | Fixed Term, Temporary, Seasonal | TOTAL | TOTAL |  | Resource paid in the quarter | Consultants paid in the quarter | TOTAL | Total |
| Total excluding CSG and RE | 2,002.17 | 1,702.93 | 1,405 | 208.3 | 1,613.3 |  | 480 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 197.83 |

6. Methodology
3.1 Thresholds for awarding directorate-level health rating traffic lights

|  | Green | Green Amber | Redumbliker | Red |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Good performance | Good, with some concerns | Somekoncerns | Serious concerns |
| Revenue \& capital budget mgt variance \% (above and below) | 0\% | < 0.5\% | 0.5-1\% | More than 1\% |
| Corporate Plan \& HR performance scores | More than 2 | 0.5 to 2 | -1 to 0. | Less than -1 |

3.2 Method for producing the Corporate Plan, HR/People and Project health ratings
Each individual performance indicator is traffic lighted according to the same four point traffic light scale: Green, Green Amber, Red Amber and Red. Points for each are awarded, as shown in the table below, and then added together to produce the overall health rating score for each directorate. For example, if there were four indicators in a particular directorate and each achieved one of the
four traffic lights, the net result would be a score of 0 and this would produce a Red Amber overall health rating, based on the table above.

3.3 Method for producing individual performance indicator traffic light ratings
Any target that is met achieves a Green traffic light. Targets that have not been met, but where $80 \%$ or more of the targeted improvement has been achieved, will be given a Green Amber traffic light.

| Traffic Light | \% of targeted improvement achieved | Description | If the targeted improvement is below $80 \%$ but above $65 \%$ the indicator will get a Red Amber rating. <br> For example, if the baseline is 80 people and the target is 100 people, the targeted improvement is $20.80 \%$ of 20 is 16 , so the outturn would need to be at least 96 people to achieve Green Amber and at least 93 people to achieve a Red Amber. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green | 100\% or more | Meeting or exceeding target |  |
| Green Amber | >80\% < 100\% | Near target with some concerns | Whilst initial traffic lights will be based on this objective criterion, they may |
| Ree Amber | >65\% < $80 \%$ | Problematic | subsequently be changed through discussion between Directorates and the |
| Red | <65\% | Serious concerns |  | given.

The criteria for red and amber traffic lights for HR/People measures differ for each indicator; the amber criterion for each is shown alongside the indicator in the individual data tables.
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| Adults and Communities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
| Description | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 |  |
| Births Deaths \& Marriages | (260) | (160) | -108 | 52 | Income exceeded budgeted target however slightly less than previous forecast due to change in English language requirements implemented by the home office in October 2013. Overspend in relation to one off costs for system upgrades and additional staffing costs |
| Community Well-Being Trans \& Res Team | 464 | 525 | 499 | (26) |  |
| Community Safety | 1,969 | 1,909 | 1,767 | (142) | Underspend in relation to Community Safety budgets. |
| Prevention \& Well Being | 7,670 | 8,414 | 8,175 | (239) | Underspend on repairs in leisure and early achievement of $14 / 15$ savings due to contract renegotiations. |
| Social Care Commissioning | 1,279 | 1,581 | 1,622 | 41 | Mainly due to agency staff working on specific delivery unit projects |
| Social Care Management (Adults) | 1,281 | 396 | 392 | (4) |  |
| Care Quality | 1,927 | 1,187 | 1,781 | 594 | Mainly due to agency staff working on specific delivery unit projects |
| Integrated care - Learning Disabilities \& Mental Health | 41,938 | 41,966 | 43,007 | 1,041 | Overspend on Residential Care and Community Based services particularly Mental Health due to significant growth in client numbers with complex needs. <br> New OR responsibility under national guidance has added an additional pressure. These overspends are partly offset by underspends in Nursing Care and Mental Health Fairer Contributions. |
| Care Services - Older Adults - Physical Disabilities | 39,573 | 41,075 | 40,210 | (865) | In Older adults the main areas of underspend are Nursing, Homecare, Day Care, and residential care due to full year impact of the Merrivale changes plus reduction in number of block beds against the Fremantle contract. <br> Physical Disability overspends on External Supported and Other Accommodation and Direct payments are offset by savings on external homecare payments due to reduction in homecare users. <br> Underspend partly offset by EMI pressures particularly nursing, residential and direct payments. |
| Dir Adult Soc Serv \& Health | 184 | 187 | 174 | (13) |  |
| Total | 96,025 | 97,080 | 97,519 | 439 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assurance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ |  |
| Assurance Management | 561 | 564 | 556 | (8) |  |
| Governance | 2,177 | 2,642 | 2,621 | (21) | Underspend due to staff vacancies during intermittent periods in the year. Also an underspend in external Member development spend. |
| Internal Audit \& CAFT | 873 | 882 | 870 | (12) | Underspend due to positive outcome from a good receipting central exercise and over recovery of income for internal audits. |
| Total | 3,611 | 4,088 | 4,047 | (41) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | £000 |  |
| E\&S Management Team | 0 | 197 | 215 | 18 | Overspend due to interim support for the Transformation projects to be funded from underspends elsewhere. |
| Edu Partnership \& Commercial Services | 1,392 | 1,258 | 940 | (318) | Underspend arises from careful management of uncommitted budgets and vacancies. |
| High Needs Support | 8,052 | 8,112 | 8,222 | 110 | Shortfall in Transport savings not fully achieved in this financial year. |
| Schools Direct Management | - | - | 0 |  | Underspend arises from careful management of uncommitted budgets and vacancies. |
| Children's Education | 9,444 | 9,567 | 9,377 | (190) |  |
| Total (excluding SDM) | 9,444 | 9,567 | 9,377 | (190) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Family Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments 55 |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | $£ 000$ |  |
| Management Team | 791 | 481 | 472 | (9) | Underspend mainly due to part year vacancies offset by WLA subscription. |

Social Care Management

## Assessment \& Children in Need

Childrens in Care \& Provider services

Safeguarding \& Quality Assurance

Commissioning \& business improvement Family Support \& Early Intervention

## Youth \& Community

## Total

## Commissioning Group

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Strategic Commissioning Board and Lead Commissioners |  |

Commercial

Deputy Chief Operating Officer
Commissioning Strategy
Total
Streetscene

|  |
| :--- |
|  |
| Street Scene Management Team |
| Business Improvement |
| Mortuary |
| Street Lighting |
| Transport |
| Highways Inspection/Maintenance |

Parks, Street Cleaning \& Grounds Maintenance

Street Cleansing
Waste

Recycling
Streetscene

| Streetscene |
| :--- |
| Special Parking Account |

Total

## Public Health

| Public Health |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original <br> Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| Public Health | 13,799 | 13,766 | 13,778 | 12 |  |
| Total | 13,799 | 13,766 | 13,778 | 12 |  |
| HB Public Law |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| Legal Services | 1,908 | 1,932 | 2,139 | 207 | Overspends in expenditure due to inflation award , additional hours and other unexpected in year running costs. |
| Total | 1,908 | 1,932 | 2,139 | 207 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barnet Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Variations |  |  |  |  |


| Description | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ |  |
| Barnet Group | 2,937 | 4,304 | 4,035 | (269) | Underpend due to higher than expected income on temporary accomodation |
| Total | 2,937 | 4,304 | 4,035 | (269) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Re |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | $£ 000$ | £000 | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |  |
| RE Services | 820 | 2,178 | 3,035 | 857 | Variance is due to TUPE transfer alignment which includes the PIT team, Cems and Crems reorganisation and staff increments between TUPE list and transfer date. Highways and Cems and Crems income targets have not been achieved as at 31st March, these areas form part of the income guarantee and are not due to be achieved until 30th September (contract year-end). |
| Total | 820 | 2,178 | 3,035 | 857 |  |
| CSG |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ |  |
| CSG Services | 24,178 | 24,697 | 24,573 | (124) | Cabinet in June and July 2013 approved a draw down from the risk reserve in respect of the delay in savings from the CSG contract as a result of the judicial review, and the costs of the interim service agreement. The cost of CSG services since September 2013 has been in line with budget. |
| Total | 24,178 | 24,697 | 24,573 | (124) |  |
| Central Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Variations |  |  |  | Comments |
|  | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |  |
| Corporate Subscriptions | 314 | 314 | 223 | (91) | Underspend on subscriptions for LGA and London Councils |
| Levies | 28,460 | 28,460 | 27,468 | (992) | NLWA \& LPFA budget higher than actual and in year NLWA Refund |
| Central Contingency | 13,245 | 4,872 | 4,752 | (120) |  |
| Rate Relief | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | (3) | Residual budget following Business rates retention adjustment |
| Capital Financing | 21,470 | 21,316 | 21,316 | - |  |
| Early Retirement costs | 5,428 | 5,428 | 5,427 | (1) |  |
| Local Area Agreement | - | 105 | 91 | (14) |  |
| Car Leasing | 2 | 2 | (1) | (3) |  |
| Corporate Fees \& Charges | 799 | 799 | 681 | (118) | Underspend on audit fees |
| Miscellaneous Finance | 16 | 804 | 804 | - |  |
| Total | 69,737 | 62,103 | 60,761 | $(1,342)$ |  |
| Dedicated Schools' Grant |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Comments |
| Description | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |  |
| Childrens Social Care (DSG) | 427 | 427 | 424 | (3) |  |
| Early Intervention \& Prevention (DSG) | 13,031 | 11,108 | 18,793 | 7,685 | Take up on the 2 year old offer was lower than expected offset by the payments to $3 \& 4$ year olds. |
| Education (DSG) | $(13,549)$ | $(11,523)$ | $(18,257)$ | $(6,734)$ | There is an overall underspend in DSG of $£ 1.3 \mathrm{~m}$ which has been transferred to Reserves and is refelcted here. There is also overspend which relates to the complexity of cases in special independent schools and on provision for 3 and 4 year olds. This is offset by underspends arising from surplus accruals in 2011-13 SEN inter-authority recoupments. |
| Schools Funding DSG | - | - | (948) | (948) | School Funding (grants) is collected here and is offset against payments made to schools. Net balance is $£ 0$. |
| Total | (91) | 12 | 12 |  | The overall underspend in DSG is $£ 1.3 \mathrm{~m}$ which has been transferred to Reserves |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Comments 57 |
| Description | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Final Outturn | Variation |  |
|  | £000 | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |  |
| LBB Retained | 175 | 175 | 64 | (111) | Underspend on Salaries |
| HRA Regeneration | 1,126 | 1,126 | 372 | (754) | Higher than expected recovery from Developers |

17 Shortfall on HRA dwelling rents \& HRA tenants service charges income Higher than expected final recharges to the HRA 47) Higher than expected HRA Interest



| Capital Programme Description | Sub-Description | Current 201314 Budget (incl. Slippage and Substitutions) | 2013-14 Actual Expenditure( incl. Accruals) | Variance from Current 201314 Budget | Explanation if variance Under/Over $£ 50,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highways - TfL |  | 5,999 | 4,362 | $(1,637)$ |  |
|  | 2010/11 allocation | 109 | 2 | (107) | Some elements to be contained within OLF outturn. Others not yet progressed - to be reprofiled |
| Traffic Management | 2007-8 Pursley Road Allocation | 61 | 20 | (41) | Unspent to be reprofiled in dialogue with developers |
| Colindale Development Area | Reconstruction of Railway Bridges | 425 | - | (425) | Retention funds not released. Slip forward put forward at Provisional not reflected in OutTurn budget |
|  | Controlled Parking Zones | 16 | 3 | (13) | Reprofile in conjunction with Colindale Station Interchange, Colindale CPZ Parking Review, Public Transportation Improvements and Colindale CPZ Parking Review. Programme to be confirmed to take into account development progres and ward member/committee interets . Work expected 2014/15 FY |
|  | Colindale Station interchange | 45 | - | (45) | Reprofile in conjunction with Colindale Station Interchange, Colindale CPZ Parking Review, Public Transportation Improvements and Colindale CPZ Parking Review. Programme to be confirmed to take into account development progress and ward member/committee interets Work expected 2014/15 FY |
|  | New scheme to be approved (Public Transportation Improvements) | 320 | - | (320) | TBC |
|  | New scheme to be approved (Public Transportation Improvements) | 99 | 7 | (92) | TBC |
| New Pedestrian Improvements programme |  | 261 | - | (261) | S106 Agreement for pedestrian improvements on Aerodrome Road being implemented first half of 2014 |
|  | Colindale CPZ Parking Review Feasibility Study- Colindale Hospital | 14 | - | (14) | Reprofile in conjunction with Colindale Station Interchange, Colindale CPZ Parking Review, Public Transportation Improvements and Colindale CPZ Parking Review. Programme to be confirmed to take into account development progres and ward member/committee interets . Work expected 2014/15 FY |
| Highways Investment | 2010/11 HIP Programme | 507 | 19 | (488) | S106 MU to be discussed with the university and reprofiled - expected to be undertaken $2014 / 15 \mathrm{FY}$. Some aspects delivered in previous years from various contributions additional spend anticipated current FY 2014/15 |
| Carriageway and Footways | Annual Programme | 900 | 910 | 10 | Partial accelerated spend |
|  | Capitalisation of planned maintenance | - | - | - |  |
| Travel Plan Implementation |  | 147 | 46 | (101) | TBC |
| Outstanding Transport Commitments on completed schemes |  | 3 | - | (3) | Residual retention funds |
|  | CCTV Projects Retention | 76 | - | (76) | Retention funds not released. Slip forward put forward at Provisional not reflected in Out Turn budget |
|  | Carriageway and Footway (Phase 2) | 1,000 | 558 | (442) | Anticipated spend would take place across two FY Completion anticipated May 2014 |
|  | HIGHWAYS PLANNED MAINTENANCE WORKS PROGRAMME | 350 | 110 | (240) | Fees Income distorts projected figure |
|  | Pavements | 1,800 | 2,009 | 209 | No Comment required |
|  | Pavements (phase 2) | 3,000 | 1,121 | $(1,879)$ | Anticipated spend would take place across two FY Completion anticipated May 2014 |
| Saracens |  | 161 | 121 | (40) | Stadium related initiatives on-going as required eg, parking signage LAS and Travel Plan Monitoring. Substantial completion expected 2014/15 FY |
| Drainage |  | 381 | - | (381) | Lack of specialist resources prevent progress. |
| Highways - non-TfL |  | 9,675 | 4,926 | $(4,749)$ |  |
| Road Traffic Act - Controlled Parking Zones | 2009/10 Programme | - | - | - |  |
| Road Peaffic Act - Controlled Parking Zones | 2011/12 Programme | 210 | 109 | (101) | Spend on-going as relates to a number of individual contributions. |
|  | Parking | 112 | 85 | (27) | Residual spend allocated for completion in FY 2014/15 subject to Client approval |


| Capital Programme Description | Sub-Description | Current 201314 Budget (incl. Slippage and Substitutions) | 2013-14 Actual Expenditure( incl. Accruals) | Variance from Current 201314 Budget | Explanation if variance Under/Over $£ 50,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parking |  | 322 | 194 | (128) |  |
| Total Environment |  | 15,996 | 9,482 | $(6,514)$ |  |
| General Fund Regeneration |  | 348 | 170 | (178) | The budget was retained in case of any unexpected capital expenses at the four priority estate regeneration schemes. The full provison was not required during 2013/4 but is expected to be needed in 2014/5. |
| Mill Hill East |  | 48 | 32 | (16) | Underspend due to efficiencies and no external cost procured |
| Graham Park Regeneration | Infrastructure improvements | 483 | 423 | (60) | Slippage due to Delays in procurement |
| Outer London Fund - Cricklewood |  | 1,638 | 977 | (661) | Project delivery of public realm works, including paving and shopfront improvements, is currently on-going. According to contract terms, some of the contractors may not invoice the council until works are completed. It is expected that all underspend will be paid to the appropriate parties within the first two quarters of 2014/15. |
| Outer London Fund - North Finchley |  | 1,159 | 404 | (755) | Project delivery is still on-going on a number of light touch refurbishment, signage/wayfinding elements, and the final elements public realm works. Contractors will invoice the Council upon completion of works. It is expected that all underspend will be paid to the appropriate parties within the first two quarters of 2014/15. |
| General Fund Regeneration |  | 3,676 | 2,006 | $(1,670)$ |  |
| Disabled Facilities Grant | Mandatory | 2,022 | 1,870 | (152) | Some of the DFG adaptations that were in progress were not able to be completed in time for payment within 2013/14. In addition, some approved DFG's did not start as they are subject to a start date which is convenient to and agreed with the client. |
| Disabled Facilities Projects |  | 2,022 | 1,870 | (152) |  |
| Hendon Cemetry \& Crematorium Enhancement |  | 670 | 627 | (43) | The variance is as a result of programme slippage of approximately 8 weeks which has resulted in payments expected in year 2013/14 slipping to year 2014/2015. |
| Empty Properties |  | 900 | 14 | (886) | Funding earmarked for purchase of 22 Edgworth Avenue and 18 Richmond Gardens. Payments held up by attempted Judicial Review and subsequent referral to the Court of Appeal. |
| DECC - Fuel Provety |  | 100 | 17 | (83) | The take up of the funding for DECC has been extremely low despite letters being sent out to 745 properties in Band G and F of the Energy Performance Certificate. The return rate for qualifiying households was $0.9 \%$. A programme is now underway to target rental properties. |
| Other Projects |  | 1,670 | 658 | $(1,012)$ |  |
| Hostel Refurbishment Programme |  | - | - | - |  |
| Housing |  | - | - | - |  |
| Total Housing - General Fund |  | 7,368 | 4,534 | $(2,834)$ |  |
| Total Re Delivery Unit |  | 23,364 | 14,016 | $(9,348)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commissioning Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GIS | 2 | 2 | - - |  |
| Modernising the Way We Work |  | 92 | 112 | 20 |  |
| Depot relocation |  | 400 | 372 | (28) |  |
| CSG Transformation |  | 16,118 | 5,416 | $(10,702)$ | Review of the CSG Transformation contract resulted in full ownership of hardware remaining with Capita including the risk should it fail. Therefore these assets could not be included in the Council's balance sheet but treated as an operating lease. |
| Re Traģformation |  | 1,700 | - | $(1,700)$ | Review of the Re Transformation contract resulted in full ownership of hardware remaining with Capita including the risk should it fail. Therefore these assets could not be included in the Council's balance sheet but treated as an operating lease. |
| Community Centre |  | 50 | 97 | 47 |  |



|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,164 | 10,203 | 4,039 | Major Works packages includes invoiced works from other budget heading e.g Francis Court window replacement also included heating and extractor fan replacement. Ramsey Close also includes heating and electrical works. |
| 92 | 100 | 8 |  |
| 5,636 | 669 | $(4,967)$ | West Hendon Communal redecs not carried out and underspend on roof access works. Poor Mitie performance on boiler installations created significant underspend. Environmental works at Grahame Park not carried out due to procurement issues. |
| 2,303 | 2,547 | 244 | Overspend managed due to underspend against other budget heads. |
| 11,697 | 10,662 | $(1,035)$ | Some M\&E works have been coded to Major Works package projects (see note above) |
| 1,966 | 2,523 | 557 | Variance due to a significant increase in the number of voids requiring full rewire. Also void quantity has increased due to trade downs following benefit reforms and increased works to larger properties. |
| - | - | - |  |
| 242 | 261 | 19 | The overspend is as a result of an accelerated programme of surveys and planning application brought forward. |
| 28,100 | 26,965 | $(1,135)$ |  |
| 28,100 | 26,965 | $(1,135)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 136,425 | 103,246 | $(33,179)$ |  |

In year additions and deletions 2013/14

| Directorate | Year | Capital Programme | Funding Type | Amount $\varepsilon^{\prime} 000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aduts and Communities | 2013/14 | cCTV Instalation | Revenue \& Reserve | 158 |
| Children's Education | 2013/14 | Targeted Capital 1419 SEN | Capital Receipts | 0 |
| Children's Education | 2013/14 | Primary Capital Programme (IfES Primay Pathinder) | Capital Receipts | 0 |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Schools programme | Grants | (1) |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Schools programme | Grants | (7) |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Principle road maintenance | Grants | 0 |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Corridors, Neighbourhoods and Supporting Measures | Grants |  |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Pavements | Revenue \& Reserve Contribution | 9 |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Pavements (phase 2) | Revenue \& Reserve | (9) |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Disabled Facilities Grant Mandatory | Grant | 5 |
| Re Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Disabled Facilities Grant Mandatory | Revenue \& Reserve Contributions | 42 |
| Commissioning Group | 2013/14 | Moderising the Way We Work | Capital Receipts | 3 |
| Commissioning Group | 2013/14 | Moderisising the Way We Work | Revenue \& Reserve Contribution | 17 |
| Commissioning Group | 2013/14 | CSG Transtormation | Revente \& ${ }_{\text {R }}$ | (10,702) |
| Commissioning Group | 2013/14 | Re Transformation | Revenue \& Reserve Contributions | ${ }^{(1,700)}$ |
| CSG Delivery Unit | 2013/14 | Ants Depot Lit | Capital Receipts | 0 |
| csG Deilivery Unit | 2013/14 | Energy Efficiency Measures | Capital Receipts | (3) |
| CSG Deilivery Unit | 2013/14 | Is Refresh | Revenue \& Reserve Contributions | 227 |
| Street Scene | 2013/14 | Cleansing | Reventer Resere |  |
| Street Scene | 2013/14 | Autumn/Spring Clean and Equipment | Revenue \& Reserve | 361 |
| Housing - HRA | 2013/14 | Major Works (excl Granv Rd) | Revenue \& Reserve | 4,039 |
| Housing - HRA | 2013/14 | Granville Road | Revenue \& Reserve |  |
| Housing - HRA | 2013/14 | Regeneration | Revenue \& Reserve Contributions | (4,847) |
| Housing - HRA | 2013/14 | Misc- Repairs | Revenue \& Reserve Contributions | 244 |
| Housing - HRA | 2013/14 | Voids and Lettings | Contributions | 556 |
| Street Scene | 2013/14 | Weekly Collection Support Scheme | Grants | (204) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  | (11,801) |

In year additions and deletions 2014/15

| Directorate | Year | Capital Programme | Funding Type | Amount ( $£^{\prime} 000$ ) | Explanation for request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re delivery unit | 2014/15 | Highways Investment | Borrowing | 62 | New addition to programme - Orion School |
| Re delivery unit | 2014/15 | Travel Plan Implementation | S106 | 10 | New addition to programme for Travel Plan Monitoring |
| Re delivery unit | 2014/15 | Local Implementation Plan | Grant | 307 | New addition to programme for school travel planning work |
| Re delivery unit | 2014/15 | Local Implementation Plan 2014-15 | Grant | 209 | New cycling schemes \& principle road renewals |
| Re delivery unit | 2014/15 | Drainage | Grant | 62 | Sustainable Drainage Systems - addition to the programme |
| Re delivery unit | 2014/15 | Local Implementation Plan 2014-15 | Grant | 517 | Additional DfT Funding for road repairs |
| StreetScene | 2014/15 | Parks \& Open Spaces and Tree Planting | S106 | 40 | Additional s106 funding |
| Children's Education | 2014/15 | Orion Rebuild | Borrowing | (62) |  |
|  |  | Total |  | 1,145 |  |
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| Wave 1 Projects | Total Budget | 2010/11 <br> Outturn £ | 2011/12 <br> Outturn £ | 2012/13 <br> Outturn £ | 2013/14 <br> Outturn £ | 2014/15 <br> Projected Outturn £ | Total Projected Spend $£$ | Variance £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Closed Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e-Recruitment | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |  |  | 40,000 | 0 |
| Procurement Project | 70,058 | 70,058 |  |  |  |  | 70,058 | 0 |
| Prototyping Project | 77,129 | 77,129 |  |  |  |  | 77,129 | 0 |
| Revenue \& Income Optimisation | 197,662 | 197,662 |  |  |  |  | 197,662 | 0 |
| Your Choice Barnet | 553,156 | 163,279 | 313,895 | 41,478 |  |  | 518,652 | $(34,504)$ |
| Housing Needs Resources | 87,966 |  | 23,750 | 23,626 |  |  | 47,376 | $(40,590)$ |
| Parking Procurement | 170,537 | 29,159 | 113,085 | 15,423 |  |  | 157,668 | $(12,869)$ |
| Rapid Improvement Project | 22,000 | 18,500 | 3,500 |  |  |  | 22,000 | 0 |
| SAP Optimisation | 375,533 | 174,375 | 127,147 | 60,000 |  |  | 361,522 | $(14,011)$ |
| Community Coaches | 70,000 |  | 42,186 | 22,205 |  |  | 64,390 | $(5,610)$ |
| Libraries Strategy | 148,181 | 60,000 | 54,003 | 34,178 |  |  | 148,181 | 0 |
| Right to Control |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 |
| Legal Services | 140,000 |  | 54,639 | 106,330 |  |  | 160,969 | 20,969 |
| Customer Service Organisation Transformation | 543,113 | 236,379 | 309,351 |  |  |  | 545,730 | 2,618 |
| Development \& Regulatory Services | 1,744,019 | 319,493 | 701,617 | 1,359,275 |  |  | 2,380,385 | 636,365 |
| New Support \& Customer Services Organisation | 1,654,439 | 307,446 | 641,733 | 1,805,266 |  |  | 2,754,445 | 1,100,006 |
| Programme Management | 2,411,433 | 450,919 | 1,593,258 | 367,256 |  |  | 2,411,433 | 0 |
| Community Budgets, Childrens Projects | 247,493 | 39,386 | 29,749 |  |  |  | 69,136 | $(178,357)$ |
| Passenger Transport | 272,106 | 57,966 | 111,602 | 97,001 |  |  | 266,570 | $(5,537)$ |
| Contingency allocated for Wave 1 variances | 1,503,481 |  |  |  |  |  | - | $(1,503,481)$ |
| NSCSO/DRS Mobilisation | 1,253,257 |  |  | 125,850 | 1,261,188 |  | 1,387,038 | 133,781 |
| Total | 11,581,562 | 2,241,753 | 4,119,515 | 4,057,887 | 1,261,188 | - | 11,680,343 | 98,781 |


| Wave 2 Projects | Total Budget | $2010 / 11$ <br> Outturn £ | $2011 / 12$ <br> Outturn £ | $2012 / 13$ <br> Outturn £ | $2013 / 14$ <br> Outturn £ | $2014 / 15$ <br> Projected Outturn £ | Total Projected Spend £ | Variance £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Closed Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSO Transformation | 1,422,000 |  |  | 1,302,876 | 38,593 |  | 1,341,469 | $(80,531)$ |
| Information Management System | 565,190 |  | 148,729 | 392,785 | 27,276 |  | 568,789 | 3,599 |
| Structures | 1,147,000 |  | 127,138 | 1,019,609 | 21,059 |  | 1,167,806 | 20,806 |
| Open Projects |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| Programme Management Office | 1,353,000 |  |  | 1,071,993 | 221,968 |  | 1,293,961 | $(59,039)$ |
| Early Intervention | 510,000 |  | 77,825 | 126,992 | 95,035 | 192,569 | 492,421 | $(17,579)$ |
| CCTV | 277,063 |  |  | 52,096 | 191,612 |  | 243,708 | $(33,355)$ |
| Health \& Social Care Integration | 100,000 |  | 38,881 | 7,197 | 21,948 |  | 68,026 | $(31,974)$ |
| Review of the Mortuary Service | 70,000 |  |  |  | 25,415 |  | 25,415 | $(44,585)$ |
| Review of the Registrars Service | 199,645 |  |  | 27,560 | 81,845 | 4,000 | 113,405 | $(86,240)$ |
| Safer Communities | 287,300 |  | 39,765 | 125,347 | 4,337 | 117,851 | 287,300 | 0 |
| Strategic Review of Sports \& Leisure Activity | 303,400 |  | 48,445 | 90,171 | 122,396 | 42,389 | 303,400 | 0 |
| Waste \& Recycling - (Phase 1) | 1,788,668 |  | 110,612 | 141,804 | 1,573,103 |  | 1,825,519 | 36,851 |
| Greenstreets \& Wave Stretch Targets | 153,332 |  |  |  |  | 153,332 | 153,332 | (0) |
| Children's SEN and Complex Needs | 610,000 |  |  |  | 263,436 | 346,564 | 610,000 | 0 |
| Children's Transformation Programme | 499,000 |  |  |  | 490,285 | 8,715 | 499,000 | 0 |
| Priority Spending Review | 250,000 |  |  |  | 248,322 | 1,679 | 250,001 | 1 |
| Adults Transformation Programme | 200,000 |  |  |  | 64,160 | 135,840 | 200,000 | 0 |
| Childrens Transformation Scoping | 24,300 |  |  |  |  | 24,300 | 24,300 | 0 |
| Parking Improvement | 246,000 |  |  |  | 150,506 | 90,695 | 241,201 | $(4,799)$ |
| Connecting with Barnet - improving customer experience | 58,000 |  |  |  | 17,785 | 58,000 | 75,785 | 17,785 |
| Contingency - Wave 2 | 13,000 |  |  |  |  | 13,000 | 13,000 | 0 |
| Total | 10,076,898 | 0 | 591,395 | 4,358,430 | 3,659,080 | 1,188,934 | 9,797,838 | $(279,060)$ |

Cumulative spend

## Upper Limits for Fixed Interest Rate Exposure and Variable Interest Rate Exposure

- These indicators allow the Council to manage the extent to which it is exposed to changes in interest rates.
- The upper limit for variable rate exposure allows for the use of variable rate debt to offset exposure to changes in short-term rates on our portfolio of investments.

|  | Limits for 2013/14 <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Upper Limit for Fixed Rate <br> Exposure | 100 |
| Compliance with Limits: | Yes |
| Upper Limit for Variable Rate <br> Exposure | 40 |
| Compliance with Limits: | Yes |

## Maturity Structure of Fixed Rate Borrowing

- This indicator is to limit large concentrations of fixed rate debt needing to be replaced at times of uncertainty over interest rates.

| Maturity Structure of Fixed <br> Rate Borrowing | Upper <br> Limit <br> $\%$ | Lower <br> Limit <br> $\%$ | Actual <br> Fixed Rate <br> Borrowing <br> as at <br> $\mathbf{3 1 / 0 3 / 1 4}$ | \% Fixed <br> Rate <br> Borrowing <br> as at <br> $\mathbf{3 1 / 0 3 / 1 4}$ | Compliance <br> with Set <br> Limits? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Under 12 months | 0 | 50 |  | 0 | N/A |
| 12 months and within 24 <br> months | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| 24 months and within 5 years | 0 | 75 |  | 0 | N/A |
| 5 years and within 10 years | 0 | 75 | 0 | $0 \%$ | N/A |
| 10 years and above | 0 | 100 | $304,080,000$ | $100 \%$ | Yes |
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## DEPOSITS OUTSTANDING AS AT 31 MARCH 2014 FOR LONDON BOROUGH OF BARNET

| Deal Number | Counter Party | Start Date | Maturity Date | Rate of Interest \% | Principal Outstanding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local Authorities |  |  |  | £ |
| 2000011459 | BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL | 25-Nov-13 | 27-May-14 | 0.54 | 5,000,000 |
| 2000011465 | BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL | 29-Nov-13 | 29-May-14 | 0.54 | 7,500,000 |
| 2000011463 | GLASGOW CITY COUNCIL | 27-Nov-13 | 27-Nov-14 | 0.80 | 10,000,000 |
| 2000011422 | Doncaster Metropolitan Council | 22-Mar-13 | 22-Mar-15 | 0.76 | 2,000,000 |
| 2000011438 | Newcastle City Council | 28-Jun-13 | 29-Jun-15 | 0.70 | 10,000,000 |
| 2000011442 | LB Islington | 02-Sep-13 | 02-Sep-15 | 0.70 | 5,000,000 |
| 2000011468 | Stirling Council | 12-Dec-13 | 12-Jun-14 | 0.53 | 5,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 44,500,000 |
|  | Money Market Funds |  |  |  |  |
| 2000011251 | Aviva | 26-Apr-13 |  | 0.38 | 17,200,000 |
| 2000011284 | Goldman Sachs | 27-Mar-14 |  | 0.38 | 10,900,000 |
| 2000011482 | Ignis Liqidity Fund | 26-Mar-14 |  | 0.43 | $\frac{17,000,000}{45.100 .000}$ |
|  | Money Market Funds Non specified |  |  |  |  |
| 2000112434 | Federated Prime Rate Cash | 15-May-13 | 15-May-14 | 0.70 | 10,000,000 |

## UK Banks \& Building Societies

2000010341 BANK OF SCOTLAND
2000011476 BARCLAYS COMMERCIAL BANK
2000011378 CO-OPERATIVE Bank
2000011046 LLOYDS BANK PLC
2000011447 LLOYDS BANK PLC
2000011449 LLOYDS BANK PLC
2000011451 NATIONWIDE BUILD. SOC.
2000011479 LLOYDS BANK PLC
2000011480 LLOYDS BANK PLC
2000011481 NATIONWIDE BUILD. SOC.

| 09-Sep-12 | CALL A/C |
| :--- | :--- |
| 07-Feb-14 | CALL A/C |
| 31-Mar-14 | overnight reserve |
| 09-Oct-13 | 09-Apr-14 |
| 10-Oct-13 | 10-Apr-14 |
| 15-Oct-13 | 15-Apr-14 |
| 13-Nov-13 | 13-May-14 |
|  |  |
| 03-Mar-14 | 03-Sep-14 |
| 03-Mar-14 | 03-May-14 |
| 21-Mar-14 | 20-Mar-15 |


| 0.40 | $12,500,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.50 | $25,000,000$ |
| 0.38 | 163,000 |
| 0.80 | $5,000,000$ |
| 0.80 | $5,000,000$ |
| 0.80 | $2,500,000$ |
| 0.54 | $10,000,000$ |
|  |  |
| 0.70 | $10,000,000$ |
| 0.60 | $2,500,000$ |
| 0.81 | $5,000,000$ |

## Non UK Banks \& UK Building Societies

None
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|  | AGENDA ITEM <br> Performance and Contract Management Committee <br> 11 June 2014 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Title | NSL Contract Performance and other parking related issues |
| Report of | Housing and Environment Lead Commissioner |
| Wards | All |
| Status | Public |
| Enclosures | Appendix 1 - Schedule of KPIs <br> Appendix 2 - Summary of KPI achievement <br> Appendix 3 - Breakdown of Income <br> Appendix 4 - Numbers of PCN's Issued <br> Appendix 5 - PATAS Appeal outcomes |
| Officer Contact Details | Paul Bragg - Infrastructure and Parking Manager, Street Scene <br> 02083597305 Paul.bragg@barnet.gov.uk |

## 11 June 2014

## NSL Contract Performance and other parking related issues

Housing and Environment Lead Commissioner
All

Public

Appendix 1 - Schedule of KPIs
Appendix 2 - Summary of KPI achievement
Appendix 3 - Breakdown of Income
Appendix 4 - Numbers of PCN's Issued
Appendix 5 - PATAS Appeal outcomes

Paul Bragg - Infrastructure and Parking Manager, Street
Scene
02083597305 Paul.bragg@barnet.gov.uk

## Summary

The Contract Monitoring Overview and Scrutiny Committee requested at their meeting of 17 March 2014 a report on the performance of the parking contract and specifically the performance of NSL and detail in relation to parking income and also to provide an update in relation to the maintenance of signs and lines.

The following was specifically requested:

1. Performance of the NSL contract to date against the agreed KPI's
2. An update on the payment mechanism against the KPl's
3. Income over the lifetime of the contract for Pay by Phone, permits, scratch cards, card only pay and display machines and PCN's (both projected and received)
4. An update on the tender for the parking IT system
5. An update on signs and lines investment (has the programme of works been completed as per the deadline/when will it be completed, how has the programme performed against budget
6. Information on the total number of tickets issued/appealed/successfully appealed

This report provides the information requested and as such provides an overview in relation to the performance of NSL, activity in relation to signs and lines maintenance and a detailed breakdown of the various elements which contribute towards the parking income.

## Recommendations

1. That the Committee consider the information contained within this report and make appropriate comments and recommendations to the Housing and Environment Lead Commissioner

## 1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

1.1 The Contract Management and Overview and Scrutiny Committee resolved at its meeting held on 17 March 2014 that this committee receive a report in relation to parking performance and specifically listed seven areas it required to be included in the report. These are as listed in the summary above and are dealt with individually below:

Performance of NSL to date against the Key Performance Indicators (KPl's)

The parking contract has 15 KPl 's (Appendix 1) which are rolled out over the first 26 months of the contract and these are monitored and reported on a monthly basis.

The contract commenced in May 2012 and as such not all of the KPl's have been measured since contract commencement. The table below shows the arrangements over the first 26 month period.

shows a detailed table of each KPI and Appendix 2 provides a summary of performance and as such achievement or otherwise of each of the KPl's on a monthly basis.

In summary the performance of NSL has improved over time. There have been a number of issues arising including a slow start, which is generally expected during the transition of a new contract and there have been problems encountered with the existing parking IT system Civica CE, which has unfortunately been a contributory factor to some performance failures over the first two years.

## The Payment Mechanism against the KPl's

The Payment Mechanism identifies the performance payments that are due to the contractor as and when performance meets the suite of KPI targets. Therefore the overall performance of NSL has financial implications (both in terms of increases and deductions) dependent on whether KPl's have been fully met. The payment mechanism is therefore designed as a remedy under the contract for poor performance but equally acts as a reward for good performance and as such it provides a good incentive for the contractor to perform well.

Appendix 1 provides the detail of each KPI and identifies the levels of tolerance within which NSL must operate for each KPI.

NSL must submit monthly reports of its performance against each of the KPl's. These reports are scrutinised by the Councils parking client team and the performance report is discussed and the performance position agreed at monthly performance review meetings.

The contract makes allowance for issues arising which may adversely impact on NSL's ability to provide services in accordance with the KPl's and where this can be demonstrated NSL is entitled to apply for relief against KPI failure in these circumstances. This has been necessary on a number of occasions due to problems encountered with the Civica CE parking system.

Appendix 2 provides a summary of KPI achievement and the impact this has had on the payments made to NSL since the commencement of the contract.

Income over the lifetime of the contract including Pay by Phone, permits, scratch cards, card only pay and display machines and PCN's (both projected and received)

Appendix 3 shows a breakdown of the income received during the financial years 2012/13 and 2013/14. It is broken down to show each element that makes up the totals in relation to the various payment methods for paid for parking on-street and off-street (car parks).

In summary it can be seen that the Special Parking Account (SPA) income generally has increased with the only area decreasing being the Permit
income. However, this was to be expected due to the lowering of the permit charges following the outcome of the Judicial Review. In real terms the income for permits in 2013/14 would be comparable with the income received in 2012/13.

The other area of underachievement when compared to the budget is related to off-street (car parks) paid for parking which returned a shortfall of around $£ 226,000$, however this is in line with the income achieved over the last 6 year period, which would indicate that the budget is set at a level which would require all spaces to be fully utilised.

## An update on the parking IT system

The contract with NSL identified a requirement for NSL to commission a new specialist parking IT system at the point the existing contract between the council and Civica expired. The contract with Civica expired at the end of March 2014 and as such the new system commissioned by NSL replaced the Civica system from 1 April 2014. The new system is the Imperial Civil Enforcement System (ICES).

Due to the extent of the current and historical data held in the Civica system it was recognised that the transfer of this data would be a major exercise which would take time to complete. It was also recognised that there were some specific risks related to the data transfer, including the loss and/or corruption of the data. Due to this being a major exercise with risks attached it was set up as a specific project with a dedicated project manager assigned to manage and monitor the project on behalf of the Council. The service provider NSL and the new system provider ICES also put in place a dedicated project manager to interact with the council's project manager.

The parking system is divided into two main areas: Penalty Charge Notices (PCN's) and Permits. The data transfer of each element had been evaluated in terms of timescale and hence the number of days of system downtime required for each.

The project was complicated by the fact that despite the inevitable downtime the parking service still needed to function whilst the systems data transfer was taking place and therefore it was necessary to put in place some manual workarounds whilst the systems were unavailable.

The enforcement operation, in respect to the issuing of PCN's was impacted to a less extent than the permits as the permit element downtime was longer than that required for PCN's. This did lead to a number of challenges in terms of the efficiency of the manual workarounds and the backlog of permit applications building up.

It was complicated by the need for all parking service staff to be trained in the use of the new system and further complicated by the Customer and Service Group staff who deal with the permit application processing transferring from NLBP to Coventry, with all staff being newly recruited and as such needing to be trained on both the process and the new system.

Despite the challenges faced it is believed that the project was a success with the system downtime being within the original project programme and the number of complaints received being relatively low in comparison to the volume of activity conducted in that period. Where concerns were brought to the project team's attention swift action was taken to resolve the issues.
Clearly backlogs built up during the downtime period and despite this having the potential to lead to processing falling outside of statutory timescales there were no problems encountered in this respect.

As at 23/5/14 the PCN processing backlog has been completely eliminated and the permit processing backlog has also been reduced significantly over the previous two week period such that it is almost back to numbers that could be considered as expected to be encountered in business as usual.

## An update on signs and lines investment

At the commencement of the NSL contract in May 2012, NSL conducted a borough wide survey of CPZ locations in order to identify the condition of the signs and lines. This culminated in a schedule of signs and lines defects being created.

During the 2012/13 financial year an order was issued to the Councils Highway term maintenance contractor Volker Highways to complete a programme of works to rectify the schedule of lining defects identified by NSL. This work amounted to $£ 98,545$ worth of line marking work being undertaken by the contractor. The Highways Direct Labour Organisation (DLO) was commissioned to carry out the rectification of the sign defects. The value of this work cannot be specifically identified as it was recorded against all signing work completed throughout the year.

Also during the same financial year Volker Highways completed lining work to the value of $£ 139,399$, however a large proportion of this work would have been in connection with resurfacing schemes and safety schemes implemented.

A further detailed signs and lines survey was conducted by NSL in June 2013 and again a large schedule of signs and lines defects was provided.

Following receipt of this schedule a further order was issued to Volker Highways again to complete all of the lining defects. Unfortunately the contractor did not resource this programme as required and when resources were deployed they were not engaged on a permanent basis and progress was constantly disrupted by poor weather conditions. This culminated in only $£ 72,000$ worth of works being completed before the winter weather put a halt to the programme. Due to this default by the contractor only $£ 22,000$ was paid against the schedule of works completed.

At the point the weather improved the Volker contract was due to terminate and as such the remaining schedule of works was allocated to the new highway maintenance contractor F.M. Conway.

At the time of writing this report F.M. Conway have dedicated lining marking gangs working in the borough and this will continue (weather permitting) until the full schedule of works is completed. It is envisaged that the value of works to be completed by F.M. Conway will amount to around $£ 100,000$ and that this schedule of works will be completed by the end of July 2014.

There is an ongoing requirement that as the NSL Civil enforcement Officers (CEO's) go about their day to day duties that they will record all further defects and report these on a weekly basis to the parking client team. As these are received they are added to the current schedule of works. Once that schedule of works is completed the contractor will be instructed on a weekly basis with an expectation that they will have resources deployed to complete necessary repairs within a week.

## Number of PCN's issued, appealed and the outcome of the appeals

Appendix 4 provides a schedule of the number of PCN's issued throughout the 2013/14 financial year.

In comparison with previous years this is the second highest number of PCN's issued in any financial year.

Appendix 5 provides details in relation to the number of PCN appeals dealt with by the Parking and Traffic Appeals Service (PATAS) and the outcome in relation to these throughout the 2013/14 financial year.

It is recognised that the outcome of appeals over this period of time is poor as more appeals are lost than won. This is therefore an area which will be prioritised in order that this position is improved. In order to achieve this additional resources are being recruited to allow a concentrated effort in this area with a view that it will reverse the current position whilst also driving down the cost of the appeals process.

## 2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 To allow scrutiny of the information requested and to allow some discussion and feedback from the committee on the position reported.
3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED
3.1 Not applicable

## 4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 This will be dependent on the feedback received from the committee.

## 5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

### 5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

5.1.1 Ensuring efficient parking service provision is complimentary to supporting the aims and objectives of the Corporate Plan 2013/16 and in particular the priority outcomes of:

- Promoting responsible growth, development and success across the borough
- Improve the satisfaction of residents and business with the London Borough of Barnet as a place to live, work and study
5.1.2 The parking service is essential to supporting the Councils priority to "maintain a well-designed, attractive and accessible place, with sustainable infrastructure across the borough" whilst at the same time supporting the statutory duties under the Network Management Duty of 'improving traffic flow and reducing congestion"
5.1.3 The future success of the borough depends on effective transport networks and as such taking appropriate measures through effective parking design and enforcement will positively impact on improving traffic flow and reducing congestion, both of which enhances Barnet's reputation as a good place to work and live and as a result will have a positive contribution towards improving the satisfaction of residents.


### 5.2 Resources (Finance \& Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

5.2.1 As has been described above the sums payable to the parking service provider NSL will ultimately depend on the level of performance. The payment mechanism has been designed to incentivise good performance and where performance is poor there will be financial implications for the service provider such that in the worst case situation they would receive payment at a level which would be below their cost of operating the service. If this worst case scenario were to occur there are also other provisions within the contract which would enable further escalation, especially if the poor performance was to extend over a number of months.
5.2.2 The procurement of the new IT system had already been accommodated within the parking enforcement contract with NSL. The new system provides some enhancements over the previous system whilst also providing a decrease in on-going annual costs over the previous system.
5.2.3 The income in respect to permits has reduced following the outcome of the Judicial Review decision which was made during July 2013 and this has resulted in the expected budget shortfall in the 2013/14 financial year.
5.2.4 Following a borough wide Town Centre review a number of changes have been made in parking provision including reduced charges, the introduction of free parking in some locations and the introduction of card only pay and display machines. This has had a positive impact on increasing parking transactions and as a results means that more people are visiting the
boroughs various town centres and thus helping to support local traders and business. Despite the reduced charges and free parking the impact on income has been offset by the increase in transactions.
5.2.5 There remains a high level of parking non-compliance within the borough. It is the intention of the Council to improve this situation and as a result the enforcement activity has increased in an attempt to discourage poor driver behaviour with regard to parking non-compliantly. Therefore additional shifts have been introduced by NSL in agreement with the Council to ensure that the restrictions which exist within the borough are enforced throughout the times of the restrictions. This has therefore increased the costs of enforcement.
5.2.6 Since the commencement of the parking enforcement contract with NSL they have carried out two borough wide signs and lines surveys. The extent of signs and lines defects identified from these surveys has identified that there is a shortfall in the budget allocated for signs and lines maintenance. However, despite this it has been recognised that the maintenance work is necessary in order to ensure that the restrictions can be clearly understood by the public and as such the council have commissioned a contractor to carry out a large programme of signs and lines maintenance work in both 2012/13 and 2013/14 financial years which has exceeded the budget allocation.
5.2.7 It is recognised that the performance in relation to appeals outcomes is in need of considerable improvement and in order to address this issue arrangements are in place to extend the resources within the parking client team to allow more focussed attention on this issue. Although this will, in the short term, increase the costs it is anticipated that it will lead to an improvement in appeal outcomes and as such will reduce the costs the council currently incurs in the appeals process.

### 5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

5.3.1 The Council as Highway Authority has the necessary powers by virtue of section 46A of the Road Traffic Regulation Act (RTRA) to vary charges at, and regulation of, designated parking places. In doing so it must follow the procedure set down in Part V of the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 to amend the existing Traffic Management Orders accordingly.
5.3.2 The Traffic Management Act 2004 places an obligation on authorities to ensure the expeditious movement of traffic on their road network. Authorities are required to make arrangements as they consider appropriate for planning and carrying out the action to be taken in performing the duty.
5.3.3 Council's charging powers are regulated by the general duty on Authorities under section 122 of the RTRA to have so far as practicable having regard to the matters specified in subsection (2) to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway.
5.3.4 The position taken by the Council needs to be compliant with its legal duties set out in "Statutory Guidance to Local Authorities on the Civil Enforcement of Parking Contraventions".
5.3.5 In accordance with that guidance Councils should develop a detailed parking policy which aims to increase compliance with parking restrictions through clear, well designed, legal and enforced parking controls. It should provide a means by which the authority can effectively deliver the wider transport strategies and objectives and not be focussed on a way of raising revenue.
5.3.6 Such a policy should be developed in consultation with the public ensuring that people understand and respect the need for parking restrictions and enforcement and develop an understanding of how they assist in keeping traffic moving and roads safe.

### 5.4 Risk Management

5.4.1 The implementation and enforcement of parking controls and appropriate tariff structures helps ensure the effective use of the borough's road network and compliance with statutory requirements.
5.4.2 Without investing in additional resources to tackle and improve the appeal outcomes there is a danger that the councils reputation will be damaged and costs will be incurred which should otherwise be avoided.
5.4.3 Effective enforcement requires appropriate resources to be deployed to enforce and hence encourage improved parking behaviours and hence compliance with restrictions. Failure to ensure that resources are effectively deployed may lead to increased non-compliance and a negative impact on the ability for the Council to comply with its statutory duties.
5.4.4 Following the outcome of the Judicial Review in relation to parking permits and visitor vouchers the Council accepted the outcome and has reduced the on-going charges accordingly and has made arrangements to refund those residents who have paid for permits and visitor vouchers at the higher rate.

### 5.5 Equalities and Diversity

5.5.1 Equality and diversity issues are a mandatory consideration in decision making in the Council pursuant to the Equality Act 2010. This means that the Council and NSL acting on behalf of the council in respect to parking enforcement must have due regard to the equality duties when exercising a public function. The broad purpose of this duty is to integrate considerations of equality and good relations into day to day business requiring equality considerations to reflect into the design of policies and delivery of services and for these to be kept under review.
5.5.2 The parking service is currently working on a new draft parking policy which will be widely consulted on in due course. This policy will contain Equality Impact Assessments.
5.5.3 The parking service carries out regular reviews of parking restrictions and is reactive to requests as they are received. It specifically reviews the effectiveness of existing Controlled Parking Zones (CPZ's), both proactively and in response to public comments and regularly conducts consultations on any proposed changes in relation to parking controls, in accordance with statutory requirements.

### 5.6 Consultation and Engagement

5.6.1 As described above in section 5.5 regular consultation takes place, much of which is required in order to comply with statutory requirements.
5.6.2 A number of stakeholder focus groups were carried out during 2013 with attendees representing all those who are impacted by the parking service to ensure that the feedback obtained was fully representative.

## 6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 Cabinet Resources Committee, 14 December 2011, Award of Contract Parking Enforcement and Related Services - the Committee resolved to award a five year parking enforcement and related services contract, with an option to extend for a further two years, to NSL Ltd.
6.2 Contract Monitoring Overview and Scrutiny Committee 18 June 2013 considered a report titled Parking Service Performance.
6.3 Contract Monitoring Overview and Scrutiny Committee 17 March 2014 resolved that the Performance and Contract Management Committee receive a report on the NSL contract with specific areas agreed to be included in that report. This report is in response to that request.
Schedule of KPIs
Appendix 1

| KPls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| KPI 1 Coverage of Patrol requirements and responsiveness to enforcement requests <br> The Schedule of Enforcement Patrols will be agreed between the Service Provider and the Council and may be subject to variation from time to time. <br> There is a tolerance level of $10 \%$, which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. <br> The Service Provider should note that the Council may limit any perceived levels of over-patrolling, e.g. to compensate for underpatrolling. | The Schedule of Enforcement Patrols will be agreed between the Service Provider and the Council. The Service Provider will be expected to meet at least $90 \%$ of the scheduled patrols for each individual road or car park, unless previously agreed with the Council. <br> The Service Provider will carry out all patrols according to the Specification (Schedule 2) and check all vehicles in the road, identifying contraventions and issuing PCNs according to Council Guidelines. <br> The Service Provider will self-assess their performance and report to the Council who will then inspect and verify the information. <br> Note - visits to streets that are broken by detours into adjoining streets count as one visit. There must be a distinct time difference between visits. In cases where two or more CEOs are present in one street, this will count as one visit. <br> It is acknowledged that CEOs may walk through part of beats that are not allocated | The Service Provider will self-monitor and provide the Council with a report of the patrols met. <br> The Council may access information from the I.T. system to verify the Service Provider's report. <br> GPS tracking facilities will collate information and confirm that the logged patrols agree with the actual patrols performed. |


| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | to them when travelling to their allocated beats and they must enforce on those beats against any vehicles that are found in contravention. However, merely walking through such a beat does not constitute a visit to that beat for statistical purposes. <br> Enforcement requests sent to the Service Provider will be recorded by the Council. The Service Provider will keep records of deployment requests and response times. |  |
| KPI 2 The volume and effectiveness of input resources <br> The Service Provider should note that the Council may limit any perceived levels of over-deployment, e.g. to compensate for under-deployment. <br> There is a tolerance level of $5 \%$ which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. | The minimum number of effectively deployed CEOs and the percentage of deployed/employed hours will be as set out in the Contract Plan included in the Service Provider's tender submission. To satisfy the KPI, the ratio between the deployed and employed hours must be as set out in the Contract Plan. The Service Provider will self-assess their performance and report to the Council who will then verify the information. <br> Effectiveness will be assessed by activity levels to include: <br> - Street Visits <br> - Vehicles logs <br> - PCNs issued <br> - Fault reports <br> - Abandoned vehicle reports | The Service Provider will self-monitor and provide the Council with a report of the number of CEOs deployed and their effectiveness. This must also include the percentage of deployed hours against employed hours and the amount of overtime worked by individual CEOs, showing a breakdown of effectiveness. <br> The number of deployed CEOs will be obtained from the I.T. system based on the number of CEOs who have logged into a hand held computer and completed a full shift. This information will be used to verify the Service Provider's report, in addition to details recorded in CEOs hand written pocket-books (if applicable). |



| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| this KPI. | agreed between the Service Provider and the Council. <br> This KPI will be considered met once all staff in post have received their assessments in the agreed format and timescale and have received the required ongoing training. <br> At the request of the Council details of staff assessments and training shall be provided by the Service Provider. | certificates shall be checked to substantiate they are to the required standard. |
| KPI 5 Staff Retention <br> There is a tolerance level of $5 \%$ which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. | The Service Provider will be required to ensure that staff turnover shall not exceed an annual mean of $10 \%$. <br> This will include all staff employed on the contract including CEOs, processing and administrative staff and the Contract Manager. | The Service Provider will submit reports showing the employees in post at the end of each month and the level of staff turnover. |
| KPI 6 The level of complaints \& complaints handling <br> The Council receives an average of 150 customer complaints related to the Parking Service annually. The Service Provider will be required to maintain the volume of complaints below this level. <br> There is a tolerance level of $5 \%$ on both the level of complaints and the complaint handling, which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. <br> A Customer Complaint is defined as a complaint by a Customer regarding an aspect of the Service Provider's performance | Any written complaints received by the Service Provider about a member of staff must be investigated and the Service Provider is expected to respond to at least $95 \%$ of these within ten (10) working days. A copy of the complaint and the reply must be sent to the Council's Representative. <br> Any written complaint about a CEO received by the Council will be copied to the Service Provider. <br> An acceptable level of complaints will be agreed between the Service Provider and the Council's Representative and the Council will expect this level to remain within an agreed percentage tolerance | The Service Provider must keep records of all complaints. <br> The Service Provider may be required to produce information to assist in monitoring this indicator. <br> Resolution will be defined as achieved if no further correspondence relating to the complaint is received by either the Service provider or the Council directly. |


| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| where there is evidence of one of the <br> following: <br> - the provision of incorrect information; <br> or | during the life of the contract. The Council <br> will expect resolution to be achieved using <br> the 'right first time' methodology. |  |
| failures to take account of relevant |  |  |
| matters in coming to a decision; or |  |  |
| offensive/insensitive behaviour; or |  |  |
| failure to respond to the customer, by the |  |  |
| Service Provider or its Personnel, where the |  |  |
| Customer has made a complaint to the |  |  |
| Council, the Service Provider, their local ward |  |  |
| Councillor or the Local Government |  |  |
| Ombudsman (stage 2 complaint), because the |  |  |
| Service Provider has not responded |  |  |
| appropriately to the Customer under the terms |  |  |
| of the Council's complaints procedure for the |  |  |
| Schemes. |  |  |

## Issue of Good Quality PCNs

The definition of a good quality PCN is one which has not been cancelled for any one of the reasons listed below (Details column).

| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KPI 7 PCNs cancelled due to CEO | The Service Provider will be expected to meet a <br> standard such that no more than 3\% of all PCNs | The Service Provider will provide the <br> Council with performance information. This |
| Error <br> The Service Provider will be <br> required to ensure that PCNs <br> cancelled as a result of a CEO error <br> are minimised and actively work <br> towards decreasing this value | issued are cancelled due to a CEO error as a result <br> of: | Incorrect factual information (e.g. street <br> sourced from the I.T. system. |


| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| annually. <br> There is a tolerance level of $1 \%$ which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. | pocket book <br> - Failure to provide appropriate diagrams (where applicable) <br> - Illegible or poor quality supplementary evidence <br> - PCN cancelled as a result of misconduct or a result of proven/upheld complaint against a member of the Service Providers staff (does not include benefit of doubt cases). <br> - Incorrect issue of PCN, i.e. failure to issue according to Council guidelines. |  |
| KPI 8 Void Tickets <br> The Service Provider will be required to ensure that voided PCNs are minimised and actively work towards decreasing this value annually. <br> There is a tolerance level of $1 \%$ which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. | The Service Provider will be expected to meet a standard such that no more than $3 \%$ of all PCNs issued are voided due to CEO action or request after printing. <br> - A PCN will not be classified as a void if the CEO issues a substitute PCN. | See above |

Other Services.

| Measurement Summary | Details | Information Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPI 9 Processing Services <br> There is a zero tolerance level for this indicator. <br> KPI 10 Response Services <br> There is a tolerance level of $1 \%$ which is likely to be adjusted during the life of the Contract. <br> The Council's Representative may assess individual instances of failure based on the effect on the PCN processing operation, e.g. whether or not the failure to reply properly to a challenge has resulted in the loss of a PCN at appeal or cancellation at the representations stage. | - Failures to log, scan, process or correctly allocate any correspondence within the required timescales. <br> - Failure to issue/re-issue a bus lane PCN <br> - Failure to despatch any other statutory/recovery documentation within the required timescales; including Orders for Recovery and Warrants of Execution. <br> - Failure to issue Bus Lane Penalty Charge Notices within the required timescales. <br> - The conversion of captured contraventions from the automated incident capture system must be maintained at current capture rates of approximately $90 \%$. If the Service Provider determines that compliance has resulted in a decrease in incident capture and the resulting PCNs, this must be flagged at the monthly meetings so that the conversion rate could be adjusted or the Council may seek to relocate the relevant camera. <br> - Failure to take the required action to update the IT system as required, or to record case details correctly, within the appropriate timescales. <br> The question of whether a Penalty Charge cancellation is due to "Service Provider Error" shall be determined by reference to a list of reason codes | Performance information will be provided by the Service Provider. <br> The Council will input into the assessment of this indicator based on the responses to challenges where it receives complaints, representations, appeals and witness statements. <br> The Council will also use the IT system to identify cases where the Service Provider fails to scan relevant documentation onto the associated case or has failed to follow the statutory process. <br> Performance information will be provided by Service Provider. <br> The Council will input into the assessment of this indicator based on the responses to challenges where it receives complaints, representations, appeals and witness statements. |


|  | the reasons set out but not be limited to below, and <br> as may be further determined by the Council from <br> time to time. <br> - <br> Failure to respond to both statutory and non <br> statutory correspondence within specified <br> timescales. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Failure to respond accurately and fully to |  |
| challenges and other non-statutory |  |
| correspondence within the required |  |
| timescales. |  |


|  | of the Council. Failure to provide the necessary level of facilities for cashless payment as outlined in the specification. <br> - Late/delayed banking of monies unless otherwise agreed with the Council's Representative. <br> - Failure to carry out adequate reconciliation of monies and/or errors in banking and accounting processes, (Tenderers are invited to suggest a tolerance level for this item). | performance payment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPI 12 Lines and Signs Maintenance <br> This indicator relates to the maintenance of lines and signs as outlined in the specification. | - Failure to report defects or to attend to any reported defect and effect the necessary repair within the required timescales. <br> - Cases where a PCN has been cancelled as a result of a defect with either lines or signs must have an associated works order to rectify the defect. | Performance information will be provided by Service Provider. <br> The Council may verify this information from its own observations, representations and appeals data. <br> The Council will also use information provided at the monthly meetings to confirm whether remedial work has taken place against all instances of repair requests and/or identification. |
| KPI 13 Abandoned Vehicles | - The Service Provider is to report all suspected abandoned or nuisance vehicles, observed during enforcement patrols. A description of an "abandoned or nuisance vehicle" is to be agreed between the Council and the Service Provider. <br> - The Service Provider is to report how many vehicles have been removed after investigation. | The Service Provider will self-monitor and provide the Council with a report of the number of abandoned or nuisance vehicles that they have reported and removed. <br> The Council may use information relating to complaints about this service to assess this KPI. |
| KPI 14 Other | - Failure to provide information required to deal with FOI requests within an agreed timescale. <br> - Breaches of the Data Protection Act by the | This indicator will be monitored by the Service Provider through quality checks and by the Council through monitoring of |


|  | Service Provider or a member of the Service Provider's staff. <br> - Compliance with Health \& Safety legislation, Council policies and procedures. <br> - Equalities Act | complaints. <br> The Service provider will also be required to provide such relevant information that may be required at the monthly meetings as agreed with the Council. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPI 15 Cashless Service <br> There is a tolerance of $5 \%$ on elements of this service not associated with financial management and service availability. | The Service Provider will be required to provide a cashless parking system for on and off street parking. <br> As it is the intention of the Council to remove all Pay and Display machines, the Service Provider will also be required to offer an alternative payment mechanism. <br> - Payments taken on behalf of the Council must be banked within 48 hours in a format agreed with the Council. <br> - The Service Provider will provide reports to the Council on a weekly basis in a format agreed with the Council. This will include but is not limited to the number of transactions, charges related to additional services, VAT (including VAT on services) and service availability. <br> - $\quad$ The Service Provider will ensure that service information is available to service users in a manner that complies with relevant legislation, the Council's priorities and the design principles of the One Barnet Programme. | This indicator will be monitored by the Service Provider through quality checks and by the Council through weekly monitoring of reports provided by the Service Provider. <br> The Council will require access to any relevant reporting system to verify such reports from the Service Provider and to undertake any additional reporting that may be required to monitor this contract. <br> The Council will also undertake associated risk audit activity to verify transaction data. |

## Summary of KPI achievement

Appendix 2

2012/13

| Month | KPI's Met (yes/no) | Failed KPI | Bits Due | Bit Payment Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May-13 | n/a |  | 2 | £8,079.26 |
| Jun-13 | n/a |  | 2 | £8,079.26 |
| Jul-13 | No | KPI10 | 1 | £4,039.63 |
| Aug-13 | No | KPI10 | 0 | $£ 0.00$ |
| Sep-13 | No | KPI10 | -2 | -£8,079.26 |
| Oct-13 | Yes |  | 0 | $£ 0.00$ |
| Nov-13 | No | KPI9 | -2 | -£8,079.26 |
| Dec-13 | Yes |  | 0 | $£ 0.00$ |
| Jan-14 | Yes |  | 1 | £4,039.63 |
| Feb-14 | No | KPI10 | 0 | $£ 0.00$ |
| Mar-14 | Yes |  | 1 | £4,039.63 |
| Total |  |  |  | £12,118.89 |

2013/14

| Month | KPI's Met (yes/no) | Failed KPI | Bits Due | Bit Payment Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting point |  |  | 1 |  |
| Apr-13 | Concession Agreed |  | 1 | £4,039.63 |
| May-13 | Concession Agreed |  | 1 | £4,039.63 |
| Jun-13 | Concession Agreed |  | 1 | £4,039.63 |
| Jul-13 | Relief Granted |  | 2 | £8,079.26 |
| Aug-13 | Relief Granted |  | 3 | £12,118.89 |
| Sep-13 | Relief Granted |  | 4 | £16,158.52 |
| Oct-13 | No | KPI10 | 3 | £12,118.89 |
| Nov-13 | Relief Granted |  | 4 | £16,158.52 |
| Dec-13 | Relief Granted |  | 5 | £20,198.15 |
| Jan-14 | Relief Granted |  | 6 | £24,237.78 |
| Feb-14 | Relief Granted |  | 6 | £24,237.78 |
| Mar-14 | Relief Granted |  | 6 | £24,237.78 |
| Total |  |  |  | £169,664.46 |
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|  | 2013-14 <br>  <br> Income | 2012-13 <br> Income |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Budget | Achieved | Budget | Achieved |
| PaybyPhone On Street |  | $2,851,994$ |  | $2,860,542$ |
| Scratch Card Vouchers |  | 49,616 |  | 58,711 |
| P\&D Card Machines on <br> Street |  | 116,821 |  | 0 |
| Pay Point On Street |  | 14,484 |  | 26,429 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 , 0 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 2 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 4 5 , 6 8 2}$ |


| PaybyPhone Off Street |  | 579,313 |  | 613,243 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| P\&D Card Machines on <br> Street |  | 89,473 |  |  |
|  |  | 12,607 |  | 17,027 |
| Lodge Lane CP Rent |  | 37,050 |  | 33,150 |
|  | $\mathbf{9 4 4 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 8 , 4 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 4 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 7 , 1 8 5}$ |


| Permits | $1,980,000$ | $1,587,632$ | $1,980,000$ | $* 3,073,836$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Suspension | 200,000 | 193,594 | 200,000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 , 1 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 8 1 , 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 3 , 8 3 6}$ |


| PCN's | $6,546,010$ | $* * 7,104,701$ | $6,334,735$ | $5,003,385$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bus Lanes | 675,000 | 909,718 | $1,065,000$ | 831,492 |
|  | $\mathbf{7 , 2 2 1 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 1 4 , 4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 9 9 , 7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 8 3 4 , 8 7 7}$ |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Total } & \mathbf{1 3 , 4 2 5 , 5 7 0} & \mathbf{1 3 , 5 4 7}, 003 & \mathbf{1 3 , 6 0 4 , 2 9 5} & \mathbf{1 2 , 5 2 1}\end{array}$
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| 40 | 2636 | 399 | 2200 | 381 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | 2892 | 272 | 2659 | 354 |
| 42 | 1962 | 173 | 2861 | 254 |
| 43 | 1999 | 150 | 2646 | 298 |
| 44 | 2642 | 191 | 2742 | 255 |
| 45 | 2426 | 259 | 2563 | 345 |
| 46 | 2284 | 278 | 2551 | 312 |
| 47 | 2895 | 246 | 2406 | 252 |
| 48 | 2696 | 258 | 2902 | 210 |
| 49 | 2623 | 235 | 2673 | 288 |
| 50 | 2751 | 239 | 2558 | 278 |
| 51 | 2530 | 286 | 2904 | 261 |
| 52 | 2606 | 284 | 965 | 169 |
| Total | 151026 | 15358 | 150456 | 16577 |

## PATAS DECISION - NSL 2014

| Month | Allowed | Refused | Withdrawn | Closed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| January | 226 | 139 | 11 | 66 | $\mathbf{4 4 2}$ |
| February | 120 | 144 | 16 | 70 | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ |
| March | 206 | 143 | 13 | 46 | $\mathbf{4 0 8}$ |
| April | 188 | 117 | 4 | 73 | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ |
| May | 101 | 71 | 3 | 46 | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ |
| June | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| July | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| August | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| September | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |



## PATAS DECISION - NSL 2013

| Month | Allowed | Refused | Withdrawn | Closed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| January | 141 | 54 | 2 | 16 | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ |
| February | 168 | 99 | 2 | 7 | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ |
| March | 216 | 94 | 5 | 9 | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ |
| April | 134 | 80 | 2 | 13 | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ |
| May | 165 | 108 | 2 | 6 | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |
| June | 179 | 106 | 2 | 25 | 312 |
| July | 172 | 126 | 3 | 21 | $\mathbf{3 2 2}$ |
| August | 168 | 134 | 21 | 36 | 359 |
| September | 182 | 117 | 5 | 24 | 328 |
| October | 189 | 158 | 14 | 43 | $\mathbf{4 0 4}$ |
| November | 168 | 134 | 9 | 45 | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ |
| December | 149 | 129 | 5 | 49 | $\mathbf{3 3 2}$ |
| Total | 2031 | 1339 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 3 6}$ |



| AGENDA ITEM |
| ---: | :--- |

## Summary

The Committee is requested to consider and comment on the items included in the 2014/15 work programme

## Recommendations

1. That the Committee consider and comment on the items included in the 2014/15 work programme

## 1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

1.1 The Performance \& Contract Management Committee Work Programme 2014/15 indicates forthcoming items of business.
1.2 The work programme of this Committee is intended to be a responsive tool, which will be updated on a rolling basis following each meeting, for the inclusion of areas which may arise through the course of the year.
1.3 The Committee is empowered to agree its priorities and determine its own schedule of work within the programme.
2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS
2.1 There are no specific recommendations in the report. The Committee is empowered to agree its priorities and determine its own schedule of work within the programme.

## 3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

3.1 N/A
4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION
4.1 Any alterations made by the Committee to its Work Programme will be published on the Council's website.
5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION
5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance
5.1.1 The Committee Work Programme is in accordance with the Council's strategic objectives and priorities as stated in the Corporate Plan 2013-16.
5.2 Resources (Finance \& Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)
5.2.1 None in the context of this report.

### 5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

5.3.1 The Terms of Reference of the Policy and Resources Committee is included in the Constitution, Responsibility for Functions, Annex A.

### 5.4 Risk Management

5.4.1 None in the context of this report.
5.5 Equalities and Diversity
5.5.1 None in the context of this report.
5.6 Consultation and Engagement
5.6.1 None in the context of this report.
6. BACKGROUND PAPERS
6.1 None.
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Contact: Ash Tadjrishi 02083592368


| Subject | Decision requested | Report Of | Contributing Officer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 June 2014 |  |  |  |
| Final Outturn and Quarter Four Monitoring Report 2013/14 | To approve the Final Outturn and Quarter Four Performance Report 2013/14 including Treasury Management Outturn | Deputy Chief Operating Officer |  |
| NSL Contract Performance | To receive a performance report on the NSL contract including an update on payment mechanism and signs \& lines. (Referral from Contract Monitoring OSC 17 March 2014) | Housing and Environment Lead Commissioner |  |
| 23 July 2014 |  |  |  |
| 1 September 2014 |  |  |  |
| Quarter 1 Monitoring Performance Report 2014/15 | To review and approve the Quarter 1 2014/15 Finance and Performance Report for Internal and External Delivery Units 14 including Treasury Management Outturn | Deputy Chief Operating Officer |  |
| Contract Management | To approve a Strategic Outline Case for changes to the Managing Agent function to deliver better commercial value (contract management) | Chief Executive |  |
| 11 November 2014 |  |  |  |


| Subject | Decision requested | Report Of | Contributing Officer(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter 2 Monitoring <br> Report 2014/15 | To review and approve consider the <br> Quarter 2 2014/15 Finance and <br> Performance Report for Internal and <br> External Delivery Units 14 including <br> Treasury Management Outturn | Deputy Chief Operating Officer |  |
| 11 February 2015 |  |  |  |
| Quarter 3 Monitoring <br> Report 2014/15 | To review and approve the Quarter 3 <br> 2014/15 Finance and Performance <br> Report for Internal and External <br> Delivery Units 14 including Treasury <br> Management Outturn | Deputy Chief Operating Officer |  |
| The Barnet Group Ltd <br> Performance Report, <br> April - September 2014 |  |  |  |
| 12 May 2015 | Deputy Chief Operating Officer |  |  |
| Quarter Four and Year <br> End 2014/15 Monitoring <br> Report | To approve the Final Outturn and <br> Quarter Four Performance Report <br> 2014/15 including Treasury <br> Management Outturn | Dissurance Director |  |
| Corporate Risk <br> Management Policy <br> Statement and Strategy | To approve the risk management <br> framework; ensuring that the risk <br> management framework is in place <br> and aligned to Council policy | A |  |
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[^0]:    n addition to the above criteria, Any performance indicator that is less than 10\% off target and has a positive direction of travel will automatically qualify to be amber rated. Both of the following criteria need to be met if a service is to have a red-rated performance indicator amended to either a greenamber or a red-amber:

    For an indicator to be rated as Green amber:

    1. No more than $5 \%$ off target, and;
    2. A positive direction of travel

    For an indicator to be rated as Red amber:
    2. Positive direction of travel or negative direction of travel not in excess of $2.5 \%$ (if the service has a clear story and improvement activity in place)

[^1]:    * Permit income received in 2012/13 was at the higher rate which due to a Judical review has been reversed and a reserve of $£ 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ has been set aside to fund any refunds.
    ** PCN income is higher than budget due to the level of non-compliance in the borough being high and the additional enforcement activity conducted in an attempt to encourage improved levels of compliance. That has culminated in higher costs due to additional resources being deployed to tackle this compliancy issue.

